

# Supply Base Report for Enviva Pellets Ahoskie, LLC

[www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org](http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org)



## Completed in accordance with the Supply Base Report Template Version 1.3

*For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see [www.sbp-cert.org](http://www.sbp-cert.org)*

### *Document history*

*Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015*

*Version 1.1 published 22 February 2016*

*Version 1.2 published 23 June 2016*

*Version 1.3 published 14 January 2019*

*© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2019*

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Company Overview .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Description of the Supply Base .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2.1</b>	<b>General Description .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2.2</b>	<b>Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.3</b>	<b>Final harvest sampling programme.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2.4</b>	<b>Flow diagram of feedstock inputs showing feedstock type .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2.5</b>	<b>Quantification of the Supply Base .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Supply Base Evaluation .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Scope.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Justification.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Results of Risk Assessment .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Results of Supplier Verification Programme .....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Supply Base Evaluation Process.....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Stakeholder Consultation.....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Response to stakeholder consultation .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Overview of Initial Assessment of Risk.....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Supplier Verification Programme .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>8.1</b>	<b>Description of the Supplier Verification Programme.....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>8.2</b>	<b>Site visits.....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>8.3</b>	<b>Conclusions from the Supplier Verification Programme .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Mitigation Measures .....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>9.1</b>	<b>Mitigation measures.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>9.2</b>	<b>Monitoring and outcomes.....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Detailed Findings for Indicators.....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Review of Report.....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>11.1</b>	<b>Peer review .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>11.2</b>	<b>Public or additional reviews .....</b>	<b>30</b>

<b>12</b>	<b>Approval of Report .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Updates .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>13.1</b>	<b>Significant changes to the Supply Base .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>13.2</b>	<b>Effectiveness of previous mitigation measures.....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>13.3</b>	<b>New risk ratings and mitigation measures .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>13.4</b>	<b>Actual figures for feedstock over the past 12 months.....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>13.5</b>	<b>Projected figures for feedstock over the next 12 months.....</b>	<b>43</b>
	<b>Appendix Listing of US Ratified ILO Conventions and Multi-lateral Environmental Instruments .....</b>	<b>48</b>
	<b>References .....</b>	<b>49</b>
	<b>Annex 1: Detailed Findings for Supply Base Evaluation Indicators .....</b>	<b>50</b>

## 1 Company Overview

**Producer name:** Enviva Holdings LP  
**Producer location:** 7200 Wisconsin Ave Suite 1000 Bethesda, MD 20814  
**Geographic position:** Enviva Pellets Ahoskie, LLC  
 N 36.269712, W-76.964838  
  
**Primary contact:** Don Grant  
 4242 Six Forks Road  
 Suite 1050  
 Raleigh, NC 27609  
 don.grant@envivabiomass.com  
 Office: 919 789 3642 ext. 1069  
  
**Company website:** <http://www.envivabiomass.com/>  
**Date report finalised:** 10-OCT-2019  
**Close of last CB audit:** 02-OCT-2019  
**Name of CB:** SCS Global Services  
  
**Translations from English:** NA  
  
**SBP Standard(s) used:** Standard 1 version 1.0, Standard 2 version 1.0, Standard 4 version 1.0 and Standard 5 version 1.0  
  
**Weblink to Standard(s) used:** <https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>  
  
**SBP Endorsed Regional Risk Assessment:** NA  
  
**Weblink to Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) on Company website:**  
<http://envivabiomass.com/sustainability/responsible-sourcing/third-party-certifications/>

Indicate how the current evaluation fits within the cycle of Supply Base Evaluations				
Main (Initial) Evaluation	First Surveillance	Second Surveillance	Third Surveillance	Fourth Surveillance
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>X</b>


## 2 Description of the Supply Base

### 2.1 General Description

Enviva, Holdings LP (Enviva) operates 3 mills in its mid-Atlantic region: Enviva Pellets Southampton, VA, Enviva Pellets Northampton, NC and Enviva Pellets Ahoskie, NC. Enviva treats the supply regions for each mill as one large supply area, with the potential for each mill to obtain wood from any portion of the area. The mid-Atlantic regional supply base includes portions of the states of North Carolina, Virginia, and portions of South Carolina. Hardwoods are the pre-dominant species group making up 70% of the forested hectares.


Figure 1 displays historic harvest volumes by product in the supply base, according to Forest2Market’s comprehensive database (Forest2Market Inc., 2019). The graph shows the decline in demand for hardwood pulpwood starting 2006. Demand stabilized in 2011 coinciding with Enviva’s entry in the region. Since 2014 hardwood pulpwood consumption has trended downward as other traditional users of hardwood pulpwood shift their operations to pine pulpwood. Moreover, the most recently available inventory data from the US Forest Service’s Forest Inventory and Analysis program shows that the growth to drain ratio for hardwood in our Supply Base Area is 2.08:1, meaning that net hardwood inventories and total inventories are increasing and current harvest levels for this product are sustainable. The growth to drain ratio for pine in the region is 1.60:1 (US Department of Agriculture Forest Service, 2017). Enviva’s sourcing does not compete with other forest product industries: instead, it provides a market for low value forest products produced during harvests for high-value timber.

Figure 1. Harvest Trends by Product in the Mid-Atlantic Supply Base Area



The positive growth: drain value means that the region’s forest standing stock has increased steadily since 2000 at an annualized rate of 1.6% (see Figure 2) (US Department of Agriculture Forest Service, 2017).

Figure 2. Standing Inventory in the Supply Base Area (USDA Forest Service, 2017).



Based on the most recently available inventory data from the US Forest Service’s Forest Inventory and Analysis program, the combined hardwood and pine growth: drain ratio is 1.79:1. Due to the potential volume of sawtimber removals, the region also could generate up to 2.3 million green metric tons of low grade wood from the forest that could be used for pellet production (US Department of Agriculture Forest Service, 2017). Further, sawtimber users in the area generate about 1.8 million dry tons of mill residuals per year (US Department of Agriculture Forest Service, 2014).

**Operating Scale**

Enviva is just one of several industries and entities sourcing wood in its supply base area. According to Forest2Market’s database and Enviva’s wood delivery database, Enviva's three mills in the mid-Atlantic region together source about 15% of the total wood harvested in the area, while regional annual inventory growth exceeded the volume harvested. In the region, pine pulpwood is the only product for which demand has increased (4.0% annually) (Forest2Market Inc., 2019). 22% of Enviva’s pellet feedstock in this region is made up of pine, 78% of wood used is hardwood. At the Ahoskie mill specifically, 33% of the feedstock is made up of pine and 67% is made up of hardwood.

**CITES, IUCN Species**

The International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species includes *Pinus palustris* (Longleaf pine) which does occur in the supply base region (The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, 2015). Longleaf pine is included in the IUCN list because its current extent is much reduced from its historical dominance in the southeast US. However, conservation groups, such as the Longleaf Alliance, agree that creating commercial viability of longleaf pine is crucial to its restoration. Enviva’s use of material from longleaf stand thinnings or other harvest residuals supports its commercial viability and encourages

landowners to restore longleaf stands. Enviva will not procure wood from natural longleaf stands if they are going to be converted to non-forest or another forest type.

Further, Enviva maintains a third party audited Controlled Wood Risk Assessment which satisfies the Forest Stewardship Council™ (FSC), Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification™ (PEFC) and Sustainable Forestry Initiative® (SFI®) Chain of Custody requirements. These certifications address the controls needed to avoid the use of CITES and/ or IUCN species concerns. None of the species used for wood pellets appear in the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) Appendices (CITES, 2015).

### **General Forest Management Techniques**

Forestry practices in the mid-Atlantic region can vary greatly due to landowner demographics and forest types. There are financial and tax incentives available to forest landowners to encourage management, replanting, and riparian zone buffer incentives (Virginia Department of Forestry, 2015), (North Carolina Forest Service, 2015), (South Carolina Forestry Commissions, 2018).

Typically, hardwood management relies on natural regeneration of stands where forest tracts are harvested and the natural processes of seedling establishment and sprout growth from the remaining stumps (called “coppice”) produce the next forest.

#### *Forest management in bottomland/ wetland hardwood systems*

The majority of bottomland hardwood forest stands in the mid-Atlantic region have been harvested for sawtimber production for centuries. In terms of harvest techniques, as explained by the North Carolina Forest Service in its paper entitled *Managing and Regenerating Timber in Bottomland Swamps* (July 2012), “Implementing a carefully planned and executed swamp timber harvest in a manner that minimizes soil and water impacts has shown to be the practical and viable prescription for forest management in bottomland/cypress swamps.” In some instances, select cuts may be used for bottomland harvest, however clearcut harvest is the typical management method used in bottomland systems, as “nearly all swamp-adapted tree species require full sunlight to adequately regenerate, thus demanding a removal of the shading overstory” (North Carolina Forest Service, 2019). This harvest technique maximizes the likelihood of regeneration of desirable species post-harvest. Many of these existing bottomland hardwood stands have been poorly managed to date, such that appropriate silvicultural treatments such as clearcut embody restoration for these forests and are the best ecological outcome. For more information on bottomland hardwood forests and their silviculture, please see the excellent guide published by The Forest Guild, at <http://www.forestguild.org/node/263>.

Numerous state and Federal water quality regulations also govern forestry activities in swamps and wetlands. For example, the North Carolina and Virginia Departments of Forestry describe several forest management guidelines that should be followed when harvesting in bottomland systems. In addition to following best management practices (BMPs) for wetlands as described by the Department of Forestry in these forest types, streamside management zones (SMZs) are always established according to state guidelines. SMZ’s are intended to protect water quality, to provide a visual screen, to enhance wildlife/ bird

corridors and to provide an additional source of tree seed to enhance regeneration (North Carolina Forest Service, 2012). Enviva audits its suppliers’ performance relative to state and Federal regulations and best management practices.

*Forest management in pine systems*

Pine plantations are managed under various regimes with the following typical management regime: planting, five years release spray, 15-year thinning and generally a final harvest between years 35 and 40. Other pine stands may be released after 5 years and left to grow as a mixed pine/ hardwood stand. Many pine stands are re-planted and are not intensively managed thereafter, which permits the growth of hardwood tree species within the stand, creating a mixed pine and hardwood forest.

**Ownership, Land Use and Certification**

The land ownership patterns in the Enviva mid-Atlantic supply base area are typical for the southern United States: approximately 85% of the forestland is privately held. Federal ownerships total 9% and state or local ownership are 5% of the forested hectares. As listed in Table 1, an estimated 54% of the region’s total land area is forested, 22% is in agriculture, 10% is developed and 8% is wetlands. These four categories comprise 94% of the land cover (United States Department of the Interior Geological Survey, 2015).

Table 1. Land Cover in the Enviva Primary Fiber Sourcing Area

Cover/Land use	% of Supply Area
Water	2.2%
Developed	10.1%
Mechanically disturbed	3.3%
Mining	0.1%
Naturally barren	0.0%
Forest	54.5%
Grassland/ Shrubland	0.1%
Agriculture	21.8%
Wetlands	7.8%
Non-mechanically disturbed	0.0%

Major forest certification schemes such as the American Tree Farm System® (ATFS), SFI, and FSC, have program participants in the supply area. The FSC website indicates the program participants have certified 300,500 ha in the three states included in the supply base area. The SFI and ATFS Programs combined are nearly 2 million hectares in the three states. Companies in the supply base area active in certification are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Companies Active in SFI or FSC in the Enviva Supply Area


360 Forest Products, Inc.	Duke University	Mid Carolina Timber Company, Inc	Sonoco Products Company
Campbell Global, LLC - East & SE Regions	Forest Investment Associates	The Molpus Woodlands Group, LLC	South Carolina Forestry Commission
Certified Forest Management, LLC	GreenLink Forest Resources, LLC	Plum Creek Timber Company, Inc	Westervelt
Conservation Forestry, LLC	Hancock Natural Resource Group	Resource Management Services, LLC	Weyerhaeuser NR Company

The Conservation Fund	Johnson Company, Inc.	S & M Forest Management Group	Timberland Investment Resources, LLC
Crawley Timber Co	Kingstree Forest Products, Inc	SR Jones Jr Land & Timber	

**Regional Socio-economic Conditions**

Regional employment is graphed below and provides a snapshot of the social mixture of the region. Farming, fishing and forestry make up 0.2% of the total employment in the region. However, due to the nature of pellet production, it also supports other sectors such as transportation & material moving, production, installation, maintenance and repair, business and financial operations and office and administration occupations, which in total make up an additional 40% of the labor force. The mean income for the region is \$51,174 and mean income for the employment sector including Forestry is \$29,990 (United States Department of Labor, 2016). Mean income for an average mill worker in the region is \$34,255 (United States Department of Labor, 2016). Enviva employs directly approximately 350 people in the region. Further, Enviva’s operations supports an additional 170 various harvesting crews and saw mills, along with forest managers, feedstock and pellet transport. Local contractors are used in maintaining the mills, providing hundreds of spin-off jobs. Figure 3 illustrates employments by the major industrial groups for the two states included in the supply region (United States Department of Labor, 2016).

Figure 3. North Carolina and Virginia Employment by Major Sector



According to a report created for Enviva by Chmura Economics & Analytics, the total annual economic impact (direct, indirect, and induced impacts) of the ongoing operation of the Ahoskie wood pellet manufacturing plant in North Carolina is estimated to be \$114.4 million (measured in 2013 dollars) which supports 222 state jobs. Aside from the direct impact, an additional indirect impact of \$46.4 million and 115 jobs will benefit other North Carolina businesses that support the plant’s operation, including local logging and trucking companies. The economic impact of the plant in Virginia is smaller, derived entirely from the indirect and induced impact. The indirect impact in Virginia is estimated to be \$12.4 million and

22 jobs per year in 2013, which benefits other Virginia businesses that support the plant's operation, including local logging and trucking companies (Chmura Economics & Analytics, 2013).

### **Pellet Feedstock Profile**

Primary feedstock is sourced direct from the forest in the form of round wood or chips from 120+/- suppliers, all of whom are vetted and qualified prior to delivering. All suppliers must sign a contract with Enviva before wood can be delivered to an Enviva mill. The contract requires suppliers to use trained loggers during harvest, follow best management practices for water quality, and to avoid controversial sources of wood, such as illegal logging. Enviva foresters confirm trained logger status and ensures that loggers delivering wood maintain their continuing education as required. All suppliers and loggers must adhere to posted safety requirements while on Enviva property.

Primary feedstock from forest residues, such as tree tops, limbs, deformed and low-grade trees, and any other wood produced during harvest that is otherwise unacceptable to other wood users in the area is delivered to an Enviva mill as woodchips. A single load of roundwood from the same harvest can contain tops, limbs, and/or small diameter or malformed understory trees that cannot be distinguished from one another through visual inspection. Enviva does not use saw-logs in the production of pellets, nor do we use any construction debris, treated wood, or post-consumer material.

Enviva also sources secondary feedstock from a variety of sawmill and wood industry suppliers. Sawmills source high-quality logs from the forest and mill them into products like two-by-fours. Wood industry suppliers use the products created by sawmills to produce products such as furniture or other assembled wood products. These feedstocks are most commonly in the form of sawdust or shavings and may be green or kiln-dried.

At the Ahoskie plant, the pellet feedstocks have the following characteristics:

- Primary Feedstock (roundwood and forest residues direct from the forest) comprise 85.4% of the feedstock, all are SBP-compliant Primary Feedstock and 38.5% of the volume is from certified sources.
- Secondary Feedstock (sawmill and wood industry residues) makes up 14.6% of the feedstock supplied by 45+/- mills, are a combination of SBP-Controlled Secondary Feedstock and SBP-Compliant Secondary Feedstock and 10.3% is from certified sources.
- Hardwoods make up 66.9% of the feedstock and softwood species are the remaining 33.1%.

Enviva's three mid-Atlantic mills received feedstocks from the following sources, by volume:

- 13.0% was made up of residues supplied by sawmills and wood industries.
- 57.4% was made up of hardwood and pine chips and roundwood from mixed oak-pine forests. These forests are managed for the production of pine sawtimber at low-intensities and contain a mixture of hardwood and pine trees. These forests are either planted in pine or naturally seeded from adjacent stands or seed trees, and little to no fertilizers or herbicides are applied to them throughout their life cycle. This establishes an overstory of straight, large-diameter pine trees with an understory of crooked, small-diameter hardwood trees that cannot be made into solid wood products.

- 3.8% was made up of hardwood and pine chips and roundwood from southern yellow pine forests. These are forests that were planted in pine and either managed moderately with minimal effort to prevent hardwood trees from growing in the understory, or more intensively to suppress significant understory growth, thereby increasing the forest's growth rate and yield. These forests are generally thinned 1-2 times throughout their growth cycle, meaning that certain trees are removed to reduce density in the forest and create additional room for the remaining trees to grow to sawtimber size and quality. These thinned trees are sold to low-grade consumers like Enviva.
- 4.0% was made up of hardwood and pine chips and roundwood from upland hardwood forests. These are low-intensity managed hardwood forests that are naturally seeded with an overstory of large-diameter oak, poplar, and hickory hardwood trees and a significant understory of small-diameter maple, oak, and sweetgum hardwood trees.
- 1.8% was made up of hardwood and pine chips and roundwood from bottomland hardwood forests. These are very low-intensity managed hardwood forests that are located in lowland areas and floodplains along rivers or other water bodies and which have soils that are saturated or flooded for at least part of the year. These forests contain overstories of large-diameter oak, gum, and cypress trees that originate from seedlings and sprouts arising out of stumps from previously harvested trees and a significant understory of small-diameter hardwood trees. When the landowner decides to harvest, the forest is clearcut and the stems of the large-diameter hardwood trees are sold to hardwood sawmills or furniture manufacturers, while the small diameter understory hardwood trees and tops and branches of sawtimber trees are sent to lower grade consumers like Enviva.

### **Enviva's Commitment to Responsible Wood Sourcing**

#### *Track & Trace®*

Enviva has implemented management systems to ensure that the wood used to make wood pellets meets our strict sustainability requirements. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. First, Enviva uses our SFI Fiber Sourcing verifiable monitoring program as a basis for monitoring tract harvests. In addition, we maintain a third-party audited Track & Trace database which includes information at the tract level, including data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva's Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to an Enviva mill, each load is linked to that tract's ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill.

The Track & Trace data collection is supported by tract audits performed by Enviva foresters. During tract audits, Enviva foresters validate data on the tract characteristics in addition to ensuring that best management practices (BMPs) for water quality are properly implemented, special sites are properly protected, and loggers are trained, along with other metrics for responsible harvesting. Enviva only accepts wood from tracts in which the logger has completed and maintains training through an SFI-approved trained logger program.

Enviva monitors all incoming raw material to ensure that feedstock meets Enviva's sustainable sourcing policy. If any of these monitoring programs uncover issues with incoming raw material, Enviva will contact suppliers to notify them of the issue. If needed, Enviva will cease accepting deliveries from a supplier who does not perform to our sustainability standards. Enviva will not accept further deliveries from a poorly performing supplier until the supplier demonstrates the ability to adhere to Enviva's sustainability requirements.

### *Identifying and protecting High Conservation Value (HCV) Areas:*

While gathering Track & Trace data on specific tracts prior to purchase, Enviva's Foresters must evaluate whether there is a risk that the tract might be considered HCV or have an HCV area present. This assessment is conducted on a site-by-site basis in order to evaluate the condition of the stand and to maximize the likelihood of regeneration of desirable species post-harvest.

Overall, when deciding whether to purchase primary feedstock from a given tract, Enviva's goal is to determine whether that tract will, if harvested, produce a new tract with the same desirable species content that was present before harvest. Some indicators that are considered in this decision include forest type, location, species composition, hydrology and water flow, stand age and soil saturation. When assessing a tract for HCVs, Enviva evaluates all of these important characteristics. If there is evidence based on this first level of evaluation that the site may contain an HCV area, the Forester must perform a second level review which includes an on-site assessment, data collection and documentation prior to purchase.

At the landscape scale, we endeavour to contribute to a working forest landscape with a diversity of age classes representing various forest type assemblages which can, over the long and short term, provide wildlife habitat, recreation, buffers for climate change, and other ecosystem services, while still playing a pivotal role in conservation and working forests in the supply base area.

### *Minimizing risk from Secondary Feedstock*

Enviva purchases sawmill and wood industry residues in the form of sawdust, shavings, or other waste products from the milling process (Figure 4). Secondary feedstock suppliers receive an initial visit prior to beginning deliveries, to verify their operations and products. All sawmill and wood industry suppliers are required to complete a Residual Supplier Reporting Form, providing Enviva with information on the source of their wood as well as any certifications and species used. Enviva includes their supply areas in our supply base evaluation and provides each supplier with feedback on their supply area, noting any areas of risk that may be present. Enviva may choose to cease deliveries from a supplier which refuses to provide the necessary data for us to properly include their supply area in our risk assessment. Enviva contacts each sawmill and wood industry supplier annually to ensure their data are accurate.

## **2.2 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier**

Enviva is third party certified in the three major chain of custody systems (FSC, PEFC & SFI). Enviva also maintains certification under the SFI Fiber Sourcing Program. SFI Fiber Sourcing requires Enviva to promote responsible forestry activities and certification to our suppliers. Our staff are actively involved in the SFI

Implementation Committees which are groups of SFI companies that work together to elevate forestry operations on-the-ground. In 2017 Enviva worked with two of its secondary feedstock suppliers to achieve third party chain of custody certifications.


Enviva actively pursues feedstock from certified sources to encourage those landowners to maintain and expand their certified holdings. Enviva also financially supports the American Tree Farm System and has an Independent Management Group (IMG) under ATFS which was created in 2015. Enviva has staff devoted to working with landowners to recruit them either into our group or the state program, by assisting them with writing management plans and preparing for audits. In 2017 Enviva’s ATFS IMG included 167 separate tracts and 33,029 certified acres.

### 2.3 Final harvest sampling programme

Enviva’s Track & Trace data show that currently about 37% of the volume purchased is from forest types that are typically managed on a 40 year or longer rotation.

### 2.4 Flow diagram of feedstock inputs showing feedstock type

Figure 4. Typical Process Flow Chart



### 2.5 Quantification of the Supply Base

**Supply Base** (data sources; a, b & c (US Department of Agriculture Forest Service, 2017))

- a. Total Supply Base area (ha): 15.2 million hectares
- b. Tenure by type in the entire supply region(ha):

	Federal	State	Local	Private	Total
NC	443,860	325,197	102,019	5,893,249	6,764,325
SC	86,700	90,444	37,832	1,750,520	1,965,496
VA	888,937	140,357	117,740	5,301,314	6,448,348
Total	1,419,497	555,998	257,591	12,945,083	15,178,169

c. Forest by type in the entire supply region (ha):

Forest Type Group	NC	SC	VA	Total
White/red/jack pine	35,085	0	70,344	105,429
Spruce/fir	2,503	0	3,113	5,616
Longleaf/slash pine	171,728	85,945	4,155	261,828
Loblolly/shortleaf pine	2,311,874	792,505	1,220,791	4,325,170
Other eastern softwoods	9,733	8,220	32,392	50,345
Exotic softwoods	0	0	1,133	1,133
Oak/pine	851,715	240,561	669,790	1,762,066
Oak/hickory	2,303,267	427,514	3,916,548	6,647,329
Oak/gum/cypress	729,422	294,480	139,232	1,163,135
Elm/ash/cottonwood	227,486	83,716	175,842	487,045
Maple/beech/birch	12,057	0	140,689	152,746
Aspen/birch	0	0	592	592
Other hardwoods	39,003	0	21,273	60,276
Exotic hardwoods	7,092	3,917	10,168	21,177
Nonstocked	63,360	28,636	42,286	134,283
Total	6,764,325	1,965,495	6,448,348	15,178,169

d. Forest by management type in the entire supply region (ha):

- Hardwoods comprise 70% of the forested hectares. These forests are typically naturally managed.
- The remaining 30% of forests are softwood. Overall, although many pine stands are “planted” they are not intensively managed plantations with little or no understory; instead, once established they are left to grow and routinely have a hardwood dominated understory. Therefore, it is difficult to determine the exact percentage of true plantations in the region.

e. Certified forest by scheme (ha): Primary supply area (e.g. hectares of FSC or PEFC-certified forest)

- SFI: 1,067,958 ha
- FSC: 300,569 ha
- ATFS: 885,257 ha

**Feedstock**

f. Total volume of Feedstock: 652,083 metric tonnes

g. Volume of primary feedstock: 528,907 metric tonnes

h. List percentage of primary feedstock (g), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:

- Forest Stewardship Council: 2.1%
- Program for the Endorsement of Forest Certification: 38.5%
- Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 61.5%

i. List all species in primary feedstock, including scientific name

Common name	Scientific name
American beech	Fagus grandifolia
American elm	Ulmus americana
Atlantic white cedar	Chamaecyparis thyoides
Black cherry	Prunus serotina
Black gum	nyssa sylvatica
Black jack oak	Quercus marilandica
Black oak	Quercus velutina
Black walnut	Juglans nigra
Cherry bark oak	Qurecus pagoda
Chinkapin oak	Qurecus muehlenbergii
Green ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica
Hackberry	Celtis occidentalis
Hickory	Carya spp.
Holly	Ilex opaca
Laurel oak	Quercus laurifolia

Common name	Scientific name
Live oak	Quercus virginiana
Loblolly pine	Pinus taeda
Longleaf pine	Pinus palustris
Northern red oak	Quercus rubra
Overcup oak	Quercus lyrata
Pecan	Cayra illinoensis
Persimmon	Diospyros virginiana
Pond pine	Pinus serotina
Post oak	Quercus stellata
Red maple	Acer rubrum
River birch	Betula nigra
River oak	Casuarina cunninghamiana
Shortleaf pine	Pinus echinata
Shumard oak	Quercus shumardii

Common name	Scientific name
Slash pine	Pinus elliotii
Souther red oak	Quercus falcata
Sugar maple	Acer saccharum
Swamp chestnut oak	Quercus michauxii
Sweet gum	Luquidambar styraciflua
Sycamore	Plantanus occidentalis
Virginia pine	Pinus virginiana
Water oak	Qurecus nigra
Water tupelo	Nyssa aquatica
White ash	Fraxinus americana
White gum	Eucalyptus wandoo
White oak	Quercus alba
Willow oak	Quercus phellos
Winged elm	Ulmus alata
Yellow poplar	Liridendron tulipifera

- j. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest: 0.0 metric tonnes
- k. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest (j), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
  - Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
- l. Volume of secondary feedstock: 14.6% of the total sourced delivered as chips and dust or pine chips, dust or shavings, 19.6% is from certified sources. The feedstock is delivered from within the defined supply base as mapped in section 2.1.
- m. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 0.0%

### 3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation (SBE)

SBE completed	SBE not completed
X	<input type="checkbox"/>

Enviva completed an SBE because there currently is no SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) in the United States. Annual reviews of the supply base evaluation have been conducted by Enviva and reviewed each year by its certifying body during annual audits.

## 4 Supply Base Evaluation

### 4.1 Scope

Enviva has implemented policies and procedures appropriate to the size and scale of its operations and no indicators were excluded. The definitions of legal and sustainable as used in Standard 1 have been reviewed and met as substantiated in the supply base evaluations. Evidence to support is offered at the supply base level. The supply base evaluation includes all primary and secondary feedstocks and the sourcing area includes North Carolina, Virginia and part of north-eastern South Carolina.

Enviva chose to conduct the supply base evaluation because there is no SBP approved risk assessment in the US. Enviva developed a set of locally applicable verifiers (LAVs), which include a number of publicly available sources, in addition to the internal monitoring already described. Details on LAVs are in the sections below.

### 4.2 Justification

Only a small proportion of feedstocks is sourced from SBP-approved certification programs, therefore Enviva completed a SBE to justify its rationale for SBP-compliant feedstock. Enviva did not modify any indicators. For the indicators which are not already covered by our existing certifications, Enviva used a number of LAVs to support either risk determinations including:

- FSC US Controlled Wood National Risk Assessment V1-0 D3-0 (FSC US CWNRA)
- All applicable Federal & state laws, including environmental laws, and occupational health and safety laws
- BMP implementation reports
- Stakeholder engagement
- State Natural Heritage programs
- Maps and data regarding high conservation values
- Supplier contracts
- Residual Supplier Reporting Form

### 4.3 Results of Risk Assessment

Each criterion was evaluated and measured against Enviva's existing forest certification and chain of custody programs and the listed LAV's. Information from the FSC US CWNRA was used as a baseline to determine if areas of high conservation value, biodiversity and conversion exist in Enviva's supply base area. Additionally, Enviva asked the US Endowment for Forestry and Communities to assess the supply base area for at risk forest types. Based on this work and local knowledge Enviva determined a rating of "low risk" for each indicator with the exception of 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2.3, 2.2.4 and 2.4.1.

Indicator	Risk Assessment	Management system
<p>2.1.1 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that forests and other areas with high conservation value in the Supply Base are identified and mapped.</p>	<p>The US does not have an SBP approved regional risk assessment that fully considers all of the indicators.</p>	<p>Enviva is using the FSC US CWNRA as the baseline for determining potential areas of high conservation value. Additional work with interested and engaged stakeholders (see Section 6) has been incorporated into the supply base evaluation to supplement Enviva’s ability to accurately map areas of high conservation value</p>
<p>2.1.2 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to identify and address potential threats to forests and other areas with high conservation values from forest management activities.</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 If areas of high conservation value cannot be adequately identified the management systems or mitigation measures cannot be implemented to reduce risk.</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement has adequately identified areas of high conservation value. Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk</p>
<p>2.2.3 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that key ecosystems and habitats are conserved or set aside in their natural state (CPET S8b).</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Identification of key ecosystems and habitats is necessary to begin the process of identifying if they are properly conserved or set aside</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement has adequately identified areas of key ecosystems and habitats. Additionally, Enviva’s Forest Conservation Fund provides grant monies to successful applicant to help them set aside or conserve forests containing high conservation values, key ecosystems and habitats. Further, Enviva’s ongoing engagement with interested stakeholders has extended our reach into additional areas of conservation (See section 6). Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk.</p>

<p>2.2.4 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that biodiversity is protected (CPET S5b).</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Identification of areas with biodiversity concerns is necessary to begin the process of identifying if they are properly protected</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement has adequately identified areas of key ecosystems and habitats. Additionally, Enviva’s Forest Conservation Fund provides grant monies to successful applicant to help them set aside or conserve forests containing high conservation values, key ecosystems and habitats. Further, Enviva’s ongoing engagement with interested stakeholders has extended our reach into additional areas of conservation (See section 6). Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk.</p>
<p>2.4.1 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that the health, vitality and other services provided by forest ecosystems are maintained or improved (CPET S7a).</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Identification of forest ecosystems that provide key services is necessary to ensure proper control systems are employed to ensure forest health, vitality and other services are maintained</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement has adequately identified key forest ecosystems. Additionally, Enviva’s Forest Conservation Fund provides grant monies to successful applicant to help them set aside or conserve forests containing high conservation values, key ecosystems and habitats. Further, Enviva’s ongoing engagement with interested stakeholders has extended our reach into additional areas of conservation (See section 6) Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk.</p>

#### **4.4 Results of Supplier Verification Programme**

No indicators were defined as unspecified risk so therefore a Supplier Verification Program is not required.

#### **4.5 Conclusion**

Enviva has completed a robust supply base evaluation and fully meets the SBP requirements. All criterion have been fully evaluated and appropriate procedures and controls are in place to ensure successful management of the 6 indicators with specified risk to low risk. As described above, Enviva has an extremely sophisticated data collection and monitoring program which supports the conclusions and actions in the risk assessment. Enviva's has well established and industry recognized best practices which are described in our commitment to responsible wood sourcing.

#### **Enviva's Commitment to Responsible Wood Sourcing**

##### *Track & Trace®*

Enviva has implemented management systems to ensure that the wood used to make wood pellets meets our strict sustainability requirements. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. First, Enviva uses our SFI Fiber Sourcing verifiable monitoring program as a basis for monitoring tract harvests. In addition, we maintain a Track & Trace® database which includes information at the tract level, including data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva's foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract's ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill.

The Track & Trace® data collection is supported by tract audits performed by Enviva foresters. During tract audits, Enviva foresters validate data on the tract characteristics in addition to ensuring that best management practices (BMPs) for water quality are properly implemented, special sites are properly protected, and loggers are trained, along with other metrics for responsible harvesting. Enviva only accepts wood from tracts in which the logger has completed and maintains training through a SFI-approved trained logger program.

Enviva monitors all incoming raw material, to ensure that feedstock meets Enviva's sustainable sourcing policy. If any of these monitoring programs uncover issues with incoming raw material, Enviva will contact suppliers to notify them of the issue. If needed, Enviva will cease accepting deliveries from a supplier who does not perform to our sustainability standards. Enviva will not accept further deliveries from a poorly performing supplier until the supplier demonstrates the ability to adhere to Enviva's sustainability requirements.

Overall, when deciding whether to purchase primary feedstock from a given tract, Enviva's goal is to determine whether that tract will, if harvested, produce a new tract with the same desirable species

content that was present before harvest. Indicators that should be considered in this decision include forest type, location, species composition, hydrology and water flow, stand age and soil saturation. When assessing a tract for HCVs, Enviva evaluates all of these important characteristics. If there is evidence based on this first level of evaluation that the site may be an HCV area on the tract, then the forester must perform a second level review which includes an on-site assessment, data collection and documentation prior to purchase.

At the landscape scale, we endeavour to contribute to a working forest landscape with a diversity of age classes representing various forest type assemblages which can, over the long and short term, provide wildlife habitat, recreation, buffers for climate change, and other ecosystem services, while still playing a pivotal role in conservation and working forests in the Ahoskie supply base area.

### *Minimizing risk from Secondary Feedstock*

Enviva purchases sawmill and wood industry residues in the form of sawdust, shavings, or other waste products from the milling process (Figure 4). Secondary feedstock suppliers receive an initial visit prior to beginning deliveries, to verify their operations and products. All sawmill and wood industry suppliers are required to complete a Residual Supplier Reporting Form, providing Enviva with information on the source of their wood as well as any certifications and species used. Enviva includes their supply areas in our supply base evaluation and provides each supplier with feedback on their supply area, noting any areas of risk that may be present. Enviva may choose to cease deliveries from a supplier which refuses to provide the necessary data for us to properly include their supply area in our risk assessment. Enviva contacts each sawmill and wood industry supplier annually to ensure their data are accurate.

Senior management is fully engaged and involved in the success of SBP Standard conformance. Enviva has a well-qualified and knowledgeable staff whom are capable of maintaining process control to achieve conformance to the SBP Standards. Each criterion has specific controls (e.g. contractual, field verification, supplier data requests) to provide Enviva with the best level of confidence to ensure conformance to the criteria included in the SBP Standard.

## 5 Supply Base Evaluation Process

Enviva has a well-rounded competent staff of professionals with many years of experience in forest certification programs, policy and procedure development and natural resource management. These collective experiences and talents provided Enviva the ability to conduct its own supply base evaluation and risk assessment.

The mid-Atlantic region mills supply base area includes counties in all or part of North Carolina, South Carolina and Virginia. Data from Enviva's internal Track & Trace and other monitoring programs are reviewed annually to ensure the appropriate area is included in the risk assessment. When needed, Enviva will scope in additional counties based on information from its suppliers following the process outlined in the SBP Standards. Using all these data sources, Enviva has mapped its supply base for primary and secondary feedstock inputs for all facilities. According to the USFS FIA database the total forested mid-Atlantic supply area is 15,178,169ha and all are considered temperate forest.

Enviva used the FSC US Controlled Wood National Risk Assessment V1-0 D3-0, stakeholder engagement, its third party certified PEFC/SFI Due Diligence System and FSC Controlled Wood Risk Assessment to continually improve the SBE. Various third party data sources were also used for research in the region such as; Forest Stewardship Council, The Nature Conservancy, United States Forest Service, United States Department of Labor, United States Department of Environmental Protection, State Forest Service Divisions, National Council for Air and Stream Improvement, World Wildlife Fund, World Bank Governance Index, Illegal Logging Portal, Transparency International, Green Peace, Conservation International, World Resources Institute, Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species, International Union for Conservation of Nature and the Databasin web mapping tool.

As part of its stakeholder engagement, Enviva worked with The US Endowment for Forestry and Communities to evaluate the mid-Atlantic catchment area to determine other areas of high conservation value. The Endowment consulted with leading independent academics and environmental organizations and identified four specific bottomland priority forest types; cypress-tupelo swamps, Atlantic white cedar stands, Pocosins and Carolina bays.

Continued stakeholder engagement has helped Enviva identify forest types and habitats that can benefit from forest management. Section 6 contains additional information.

## 6 Stakeholder Consultation

### 6.1 Response to stakeholder consultation

#### 2019 update

In February, Enviva and NatureServe convened a meeting in Raleigh with Natural Heritage program staff in many of the states from which we source, including NC and VA. The meeting was a kickoff of our year-long project to obtain from NatureServe and Natural Heritage Programs prioritized biodiversity geospatial data that we will use as the basis for an expansion and update of our High Conservation Value (HCV) policy and procedures. Conceptually, the NatureServe data will focus on stands where biomass sourcing may not be appropriate for biodiversity conservation, and where certain kinds of biomass sourcing could be appropriate for the maintenance and improvement of the stands and habitat conditions, such as longleaf stands. In late 2019, Enviva will decide which specific delineation of the biodiversity data we will adopt in our expanded and updated HCV policy. In June, Enviva received the first dataset, which was from the VA Dept of Conservation and Recreation (DCR), based on methodology that VA DCR developed for their Conservation Sites program. NatureServe then worked with other states Natural Heritage programs to replicate the conservation sites methodology with their data. In August, Enviva received the prioritized data from NC, SC, GA, FL and AL, and will work with MS to obtain relevant data. In September, Enviva wood procurement staff and our partner at Earthworm Foundation began testing, in a pilot project in NE NC and VA, our new procedures to use biodiversity-focused GIS data in our sourcing. After the procedures pilot this Fall, and after Enviva decides on the delineation of our expanded HCVs, we will implement our new HCV policy and procedures company-wide in January 2020.

In 2018, Enviva worked with Rainforest Alliance on improvements to our Track & Trace program, including improving our processes so that Track & Trace can be audited. Now, in 2019, we are working with NEPCon to develop a Track & Trace Standard and procedures for auditing to that standard.

In 2018 Enviva's Forest Conservation Fund has help secure conservation easements on over 5,000 acres. To date the program has helped landowners secure conservation easements covering over 17,000 acres of sensitive forestland.

#### 2017 update

In late summer of 2017, as part of our plan to improve our Responsible Sourcing Policy, we solicited and received detailed feedback on the limitations in our current policy and suggestions for how to improve it from the following non-profit and agencies:

- The Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies and The National Wildlife Federation
- The Nature Conservancy
- Audubon
- World Wildlife Fund
- National Council for Air and Stream Improvement
- The Conservation Fund

- The Forest Trust
- And others

We integrated many suggestions we received into our draft policy and the associated plans through which we will implement our policy, including: adding more robust protections of upland as well as bottomland forests, supporting restoration of longleaf and other critical forest types, driving FSC forest management certification, scoping the development of a broader sustainability policy, among others. We anticipate releasing our revised responsible sourcing policy in late 2018 or early 2019.

We are also working closely with Rainforest Alliance on improvements to our Track & Trace program, including improving our processes so that Track & Trace can be audited.

### Bottomland Hardwood (BLHW) Task Force

We had numerous calls and held the last in-person meeting of our BLHW Task Force in June 2018, which provided detailed, constructive feedback on ways we could expand our BLHW HCV policy.

### Longleaf restoration

Building on our project with AFF and TNC to certify and restore longleaf in the FL panhandle, we consulted with Longleaf Alliance as we developed our thinking of how we could support longleaf restoration more broadly. In June, we presented our interest to support longleaf restoration around our new plant at Hamlet, NC to the NC Sandhills Conservation Partnership

In 2017 Enviva's Forest Conservation Fund has help secure conservation easements on over 8,000 acres. To date the program has helped landowners secure conservation easements covering over 13,200 acres of sensitive forestland.

In 2015 & 2016, Enviva initiated two stakeholder consultations to receive input for its SBP certification process. Both were conducted via email, with emails sent to over 160 individuals representing state agencies, universities, ENGOs, forest product companies, local community groups, and more. Each consultation was open for 30 days. Enviva set up a separate email account to manage the consultations and monitored it daily for questions or comments. Enviva also set up a separate webpage on its website for each consultation as well that contained all the same information as the email and had a downloadable comment form.

The first consultation was held from August 15th, 2015 – September 15, 2015 and was based on SBP Standard #1: Feedstock Compliance Standard. During Consultation 1, Enviva asked interested stakeholders to provide us with any data or resources they believed would help us properly complete our Supply Base evaluation based on the Indicators in Standard #1. We received two comments.

Enviva's second consultation was completed between January 8 and February 2, 2016. This consultation focused on the Locally Applicable Verifiers (LAVs) used to support the risk designations in our Supply Base Evaluation. Interested stakeholders were asked to comment on the LAVs Enviva chose and their

applicability to certain indicators in Standard #1. We received one set of comments from one stakeholder. A list of stakeholder who received a request to participate is below.

Organization	States Covered	Organization	States Covered
25 X 25	US	North Carolina Forest Service D6	NC
American Birds Conservancy	US	North Carolina Forest Service D7	NC
American Forest & Paper Association	US	North Carolina Landowners Association	NC
American Forest Management	US	North Carolina Native Plant Society	NC
Calhoun Timber Co	NC/VA	North Carolina Society of American Foresters Chapter	NC
Carolina Pine & Hardwoods	NC/VA	North Carolina State University	NC
Cooper Marine and Timberlands	MS	North Carolina Wildlife Federation	NC
Darden Logging	NC/VA	North Carolina/Virginia Association of Consulting Foresters	NC
Davis Logging of VA.	VA	Partnership for Southern Forest Conservation	SE US
Dogwood	US	Pearl River-Stone County Forestry Assn	MS
Duke University	NC	Pinchot Institute	US
E.O. Wilson Biophilia Center	NC	Roundtable for Sustainable Biofuels	US
Environmental Defense Fund	NC/SC	S & M Forest Management Group	NC/VA
Forest Investment Associates	US	Seaboard Timber Co, Inc	NC, SC
Forest Landowners Association	US	Sharp Logger	VA
Franklin Lumber	NC/VA	Southeast Fiber Supply	NC/VA
Longleaf Alliance	NC, SC, MS, AL GA	Southern Environmental Law Center	US
Meherrin River	NC/VA	Swain & Temple, Inc.	NC, VA
Mid-ATL National Wildlife Fed	NC, VA, SC	T L Bain	NC/VA
Mid-South Woodland Owners Assoc	NC, SC, TN, VA	The Conservation Fund	US
Mossy Oak	SE US	The Endowment for Forests and Communities	US
National Alliance of Forest Owners	US	The Nature Conservancy of North Carolina	NC
National Association of State Foresters	US	The Nature Conservancy of Virginia	VA
National Council for Air and Stream Improvement	US	Timber Investment Resources	GA, TN, NC
National Resources Defense Council	US	Trust for Public Land	US
National Wild Turkey Federation	US	Virginia Conservation Network (NWF Virginia Affiliate)	VA
National Wildlife Foundation	US	Virginia Forestry Association	VA
NC ProLogger/NC Forestry Association	NC	Virginia Landowners Association	VA
North Carolina ATFS	NC	Virginia Native Plant Society	VA
North Carolina Bioenergy Council	NC	Virginia Society of American Foresters Chapter	VA
North Carolina Coastal Land Trust	NC	Virginia Tree Farm Chapter	VA
North Carolina Forest Service D10	NC	Weyerhaeuser	US
North Carolina Forest Service D11	NC	Wildlife Management Institute	US
North Carolina Forest Service D13	NC	Woodridge Timber Co	NC/VA
North Carolina Forest Service D5	NC	World Wildlife Federation	US

## 7 Overview of Initial Assessment of Risk

Indicator	Initial Risk Rating		
	Specified	Low	Unspecified
1.1.1		X	
1.1.2		X	
1.1.3		X	
1.2.1		X	
1.3.1		X	
1.4.1		X	
1.5.1		X	
1.6.1		X	
2.1.1	X		
2.1.2	X		
2.1.3		X	
2.2.1		X	
2.2.2		X	
2.2.3	X		
2.2.4	X		
2.2.5		X	
2.2.6		X	
2.2.7		X	
2.2.8		X	
2.2.9		X	

Indicator	Initial Risk Rating		
	Specified	Low	Unspecified
2.3.1		X	
2.3.2		X	
2.3.3		X	
2.4.1	X		
2.4.2		X	
2.4.3		X	
2.5.1		X	
2.5.2		X	
2.6.1		X	
2.7.1		X	
2.7.2		X	
2.7.3		X	
2.7.4		X	
2.7.5		X	
2.8.1		X	
2.9.1		X	
2.9.2		X	
2.10.1		X	

## **8 Supplier Verification Programme**

### **8.1 Description of the Supplier Verification Programme**

No SVP is required

### **8.2 Site visits**

NA

### **8.3 Conclusions from the Supplier Verification Programme**

NA

## 9 Mitigation Measures

### 9.1 Mitigation measures

To read full details for each indicator please see Annex 1.

2018 report findings

Indicator	Management System	Means of Verification
<p>2.1.1 2.1.2</p>	<p><u>Use of FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement to develop appropriate maps of high conservation value areas</u></p> <p><u>Control system/Procedures</u> Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Illegally harvest wood;</u></li> <li>• Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>• <u>Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</u></li> <li>• <u>Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</u></li> <li>• Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>• Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>Enviva requires all suppliers to sign an annual Master Wood Supply Agreement. The Agreement requires suppliers to</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ENV-SFIS-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</li> <li>• ENV-COC-01 Enviva Chain of Custody Procedures &amp; Implementation</li> <li>• ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</li> <li>• FSC US Controlled Wood National Risk Assessment</li> <li>• Stakeholder engagement</li> <li>• Master Wood Purchase Agreement</li> <li>• State BMP Manuals</li> <li>• Track &amp; Trace</li> <li>• HCV Tract Approval Process</li> <li>• District of Origin Process</li> </ul>

	<p>abide by forest management activities regulations.</p> <p>Enviva requires all suppliers to sign an annual Master Wood Supply Agreement. The Agreement requires suppliers to avoid feedstock sources from land use change.</p> <p>Enviva uses its Tract Approval process and District of Origin process to assess feedstock purchases conformance to these indicators</p>	
<p>2.2.3 2.2.4 2.4.1</p>	<p><u>Control system/Procedures</u> Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood: (items related to this indicator are underlined)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>• Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>• <u>Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</u></li> <li>• <u>Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</u></li> <li>• Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>• Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. ENV-SFIS-01 Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</li> <li>c. Track &amp; Trace Program</li> <li>d. ENV-COC-01 Implementation Manual</li> <li>e. ENV-COC-02 CS Procedure</li> <li>f. ENV-COC-03 CS Risk Assessment</li> <li>g. Master Wood Purchase Agreement</li> <li>h. Track &amp; Trace</li> <li>i. District of Origin Process</li> <li>j. HCV Tract Approval Process</li> <li>k. State BMP Manuals and BMP monitoring data</li> </ol>

	<p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement requires suppliers to avoid key ecosystems and habitats such as old growth forests and forest that could be threatened by forest management activities.</p> <p>The Enviva Forest Conservation Fund, a \$5 million, 10-year program sponsored by Enviva and administered by the U.S. Endowment for Forestry and Communities, is designed to protect tens of thousands of acres of sensitive bottomland forests in the Virginia-North Carolina coastal plain. The Enviva Forest Conservation Fund will award matching-fund grants to non-profit organizations to permanently protect ecologically sensitive areas and preserve working forests. (<a href="http://envivaforestfund.org/">http://envivaforestfund.org/</a>)</p> <p>Enviva uses its Tract Approval process and District of Origin process to assess feedstock purchases conformance to these indicators</p>	
--	--	--

**9.2 Monitoring and outcomes**

In 2018 Enviva conducted 260 field site inspections in Enviva’s mid-Atlantic region which include the Ahoskie mill as well as Enviva’s Northampton, NC and Southampton, VA pellet mills. Field inspection to monitor program implementation such as forestry BMP implementation adherence and adherence to Enviva HCV Tract Approval process. No instances of program violations related to high conservation values, biodiversity or negative impact to health or vitality of key ecosystems were recorded. No tracts were out of compliance for state water quality state BMP guidelines.

Enviva’s District of Origin process requires secondary feedstock suppliers to annually complete a Data Request Form. These forms are used to assess changes in a secondary feedstock suppliers sourcing

practices and to determine if the feedstock provided by the supplier is SBP-compliant or SBP-controlled. In 2018 Ahoskie received secondary feedstock from 45 suppliers, 15 are SBP-controlled based on their responses to Enviva Data Request Form and known high conservation value areas representing 4% of the mill's feedstock.

## **10 Detailed Findings for Indicators**

See Annex 1

## **11 Review of Report**

### **11.1 Peer review**

The supply base evaluation is reviewed annually and revised to include credible third-party information and internally generated information developed through Enviva's robust suite of programs and processes.

### **11.2 Public or additional reviews**

The supply base evaluation was reviewed by the certifying body during audit.

## 12 Approval of Report

Approval of Supply Base Report by senior management			
Report Prepared by:	Don Grant	Manager, Sustainability Standards	Sept 15, 2019
	Name	Title	Date
<p>The undersigned persons confirm that I/we are members of the organisation’s senior management and do hereby affirm that the contents of this evaluation report were duly acknowledged by senior management as being accurate prior to approval and finalisation of the report.</p>			
Report approved by:	<i>Jennifer Jenkins, PhD</i>	<i>Vice President and Chief Sustainability Officer</i>	<i>Oct. 7, 2019</i>
	Name	Title	Date
Report approved by:	<i>Thomas Meth</i>	<i>Executive Vice President of Sales and Marketing</i>	<i>Oct. 10, 2019</i>
	Name	Title	Date

## **13 Updates**

### **13.1 Significant changes to the Supply Base**

No significant changes

### **13.2 Effectiveness of previous mitigation measures**

2019 report findings

Management system

In 2018 Enviva conducted 260 field site inspections in Enviva's mid-Atlantic region which include the Ahoskie mill as well as Enviva's Northampton, NC and Southampton, VA pellet mills. Field inspection to monitor program implementation such as forestry BMP implementation adherence and adherence to Enviva HCV Tract Approval process. No instances of program violations related to high conservation values, biodiversity or negative impact to health or vitality of key ecosystems were recorded. No tracts were found to be out of compliance for state BMP water quality guidelines.

Enviva's District of Origin process requires secondary feedstock suppliers to annually complete a Data Request Form. These forms are used to assess changes in a secondary feedstock suppliers sourcing practices and to determine if the feedstock provided by the supplier is SBP-compliant or SBP-controlled. In 2018 Ahoskie received secondary feedstock from 45 suppliers, 15 are SBP-controlled based on their responses to Enviva Data Request Form and known high conservation value areas representing 4% of the mill's feedstock.

In 2018 Enviva's Forest Conservation Fund has help secure conservation easements on over 5,000 acres. To date the program has helped landowners secure conservation easements covering over 17,000 acres of sensitive forestland.

2019 report findings

2.1.2, 2.2.4 Enviva's High Conservation Tract Approval process and secondary feedstock procedures are effective.

2.2.3 Enviva's Forest Conservation Fund continues to provide an avenue to assist forest landowners in conserving forests

2018 report findings

Management system

In 2017 Enviva conducted 116 field site inspections in Enviva's mid-Atlantic region which include the Ahoskie mill as well as Enviva's Northampton, NC and Southampton, VA pellet mills. Field inspection to monitor program implementation such as forestry BMP implementation adherence and adherence to

Enviva HCV Tract Approval process. No instances of program violations related to high conservation values, biodiversity or negative impact to health or vitality of key ecosystems were recorded. One tract was found to be out of compliance for state water quality according to Enviva’s guidelines but not according state BMP guidelines.

Enviva’s District of Origin process requires secondary feedstock suppliers to annually complete a Data Request Form. These forms are used to assess changes in a secondary feedstock suppliers sourcing practices and to determine if the feedstock provided by the supplier is SBP-compliant or SBP-controlled. In 2017 Ahoskie received secondary feedstock from 45 suppliers, 15 are SBP-controlled based on their responses to Enviva Data Request Form and known high conservation value areas representing 4% of the mill’s feedstock.

In 2017 Enviva’s Forest Conservation Fund has help secure conservation easements on over 8,000 acres. To date the program has helped landowners secure conservation easements covering over 13,200 acres of sensitive forestland.

2017 report findings

2.1.2 Enviva's High Conservation Tract Approval process and secondary feedstock procedures are business as usual controls.

2.2.3 Enviva’s Forest Conservation Fund has already helped conserve seven high conservation forest tracts in the mid-Atlantic region protecting more than 5,200 acres of sensitive forestland.

2.2.4 Enviva's High Conservation Tract Approval process and secondary feedstock procedures are business as usual controls.

**13.3 New risk ratings and mitigation measures**

2019 report findings

Risk designation changes are as described in Sections 4 and 9.

Indicator	Risk Assessment	Management system
2.1.1 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that forests and other areas with high conservation value in the Supply Base are identified and mapped.	The US does not have an SBP approved regional risk assessment that fully considers all of the indicators.	Enviva is using the FSC US CWNRA as the baseline for determining potential areas of high conservation value. Additional work with interested and engaged stakeholders (see Section 6) has been incorporated into the supply base evaluation to supplement Enviva’s ability to

		accurately map areas of high conservation value
2.1.2 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to identify and address potential threats to forests and other areas with high conservation values from forest management activities.	Related to 2.1.1 If areas of high conservation value cannot be adequately identified the management systems or mitigation measures cannot be implemented to reduce risk.	Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement has adequately identified areas of high conservation value. Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk
2.2.3 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that key ecosystems and habitats are conserved or set aside in their natural state (CPET S8b).	Related to 2.1.1 Identification of key ecosystems and habitats is necessary to begin the process of identifying if they are properly conserved or set aside	Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement has adequately identified areas of key ecosystems and habitats. Additionally, Enviva’s Forest Conservation Fund provides grant monies to successful applicant to help them set aside or conserve forests containing high conservation values, key ecosystems and habitats. Further, Enviva’s ongoing engagement with interested stakeholders has extended our reach into additional areas of conservation (See section 6). Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk.
2.2.4 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that biodiversity is protected (CPET S5b).	Related to 2.1.1 Identification of areas with biodiversity concerns is necessary to begin the process of identifying if they are properly protected	Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement has adequately identified areas of key ecosystems and habitats. Additionally, Enviva’s Forest Conservation Fund provides grant monies to successful applicant to help them set aside or conserve forests containing

		<p>high conservation values, key ecosystems and habitats. Further, Enviva’s ongoing engagement with interested stakeholders has extended our reach into additional areas of conservation (See section 6). Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk.</p>
<p>2.4.1 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that the health, vitality and other services provided by forest ecosystems are maintained or improved (CPET S7a).</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Identification of forest ecosystems that provide key services is necessary to ensure proper control systems are employed to ensure forest health, vitality and other services are maintained</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement has adequately identified key forest ecosystems. Additionally, Enviva’s Forest Conservation Fund provides grant monies to successful applicant to help them set aside or conserve forests containing high conservation values, key ecosystems and habitats. Further, Enviva’s ongoing engagement with interested stakeholders has extended our reach into additional areas of conservation (See section 6) Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk.</p>

2018 report findings

Risk designation changes are as described in Sections 4 and 9.

Indicator	Risk Assessment	Management system
<p>2.1.1 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that forests and other areas with high</p>	<p>The US does not have an SBP approved regional risk assessment that fully considers all of the indicators.</p>	<p>Enviva is using the FSC US CWNRA as the baseline for determining potential areas of high conservation value.</p>

<p>conservation value in the Supply Base are identified and mapped.</p>		<p>Additional work with interested and engaged stakeholders (see Section 6) has been incorporated into the supply base evaluation to supplement Enviva’s ability to accurately map areas of high conservation value</p>
<p>2.1.2 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to identify and address potential threats to forests and other areas with high conservation values from forest management activities.</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 If areas of high conservation value cannot be adequately identified the management systems or mitigation measures cannot be implemented to reduce risk.</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement has adequately identified areas of high conservation value. Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk</p>
<p>2.1.3 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that feedstock is not sourced from forests converted to production plantation forest or non-forest lands after January 2008.</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Areas of conversion to production plantation (as defined by SBP) is low in Enviva’s supply base area. Conversion to non-forest after January 2008 may occur in the supply base area</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and local knowledge provide Enviva the ability identified areas of conversion after January 2008 Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk</p>
<p>2.2.3 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that key ecosystems and habitats are conserved or set aside in their natural state (CPET S8b).</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Identification of key ecosystems and habitats is necessary to begin the process of identifying if they are properly conserved or set aside</p>	<p>Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement has adequately identified areas of key ecosystems and habitats. Additionally, Enviva’s Forest Conservation Fund provides grant monies to successful applicant to help them set aside or conserve forests containing high conservation values, key ecosystems and habitats. Further, Enviva’s ongoing engagement with interested stakeholders has extended our reach into additional areas of conservation (See section 6).</p>

		Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk.
2.2.4 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that biodiversity is protected (CPET S5b).	Related to 2.1.1 Identification of areas with biodiversity concerns is necessary to begin the process of identifying if they are properly protected	Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement has adequately identified areas of key ecosystems and habitats. Additionally, Enviva’s Forest Conservation Fund provides grant monies to successful applicant to help them set aside or conserve forests containing high conservation values, key ecosystems and habitats. Further, Enviva’s ongoing engagement with interested stakeholders has extended our reach into additional areas of conservation (See section 6). Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk.
2.4.1 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that the health, vitality and other services provided by forest ecosystems are maintained or improved (CPET S7a).	Related to 2.1.1 Identification of forest ecosystems that provide key services is necessary to ensure proper control systems are employed to ensure forest health, vitality and other services are maintained	Related to 2.1.1 Enviva’s use of the FSC US CWNRA and stakeholder engagement has adequately identified key forest ecosystems. Additionally, Enviva’s Forest Conservation Fund provides grant monies to successful applicant to help them set aside or conserve forests containing high conservation values, key ecosystems and habitats. Further, Enviva’s ongoing engagement with interested stakeholders has extended our reach into additional areas of conservation (See section 6)

		Enviva has robust management systems that can address these areas of specified risk and manage the outcome to low risk.
--	--	---

2017 report findings

2.1.2 Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process meets the requirements described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document dated December 2017. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators.

This approach is also in alignment with SBP Guidance Document: Meeting SBP Criteria in relation to protecting exceptional conservation values in the southern US.

The process Enviva employ's through its District of Origin Process and annual Supplier Data Request process ensures Enviva can meet and exceed the guidance provided in the document therefore providing conformance to indicators 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 and confirming low risk.

2.2.3 The Enviva Forest Conservation Fund, a \$5 million, 10-year program sponsored by Enviva and administered by the U.S. Endowment for Forestry and Communities, is designed to protect tens of thousands of acres of sensitive bottomland forests in the Virginia-North Carolina coastal plain. The Enviva Forest Conservation Fund will award matching-fund grants to non-profit organizations to permanently protect ecologically sensitive areas and preserve working forests. (<http://envivaforestfund.org/>)

2.2.4 Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows meets the requirements described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document dated December 2017. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators.

This approach is also in alignment with SBP Guidance Document: Meeting SBP Criteria in relation to protecting exceptional conservation values in the southern US.

**13.4 Actual figures for feedstock over the past 12 months**

**2019 Report (2018 actual feedstock data)**

**Feedstock**

- f. Total volume of Feedstock: 652,083 metric tonnes
- g. Volume of primary feedstock: 528,907 metric tonnes
- h. List percentage of primary feedstock (g), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - a. Forest Stewardship Council: 2.1%

- b. Program for the Endorsement of Forest Certification: 6.7%
- c. Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 91.2%

i. List all species in primary feedstock, including scientific name

Common name	Scientific name
American beech	Fagus grandifolia
American elm	Ulmus americana
Atlantic white cedar	Chamaecyparis thyoides
Black cherry	Prunus serotina
Black gum	nyssa sylvatica
Black jack oak	Quercus marilandica
Black oak	Quercus velutina
Black walnut	Juglans nigra
Cherry bark oak	Qurecus pagoda
Chinkapin oak	Qurecus muehlenbergii
Green ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica
Hackberry	Celtis occidentalis
Hickory	Carya spp.
Holly	Ilex opaca
Laurel oak	Quercus laurifolia

Common name	Scientific name
Live oak	Quercus virginiana
Loblolly pine	Pinus taeda
Longleaf pine	Pinus palustris
Northern red oak	Quercus rubra
Overcup oak	Quercus lyrata
Pecan	Cayra illinoensis
Persimmon	Diospyros virginiana
Pond pine	Pinus serotina
Post oak	Quercus stellata
Red maple	Acer rubrum
River birch	Betula nigra
River oak	Casuarina cunninghamiana
Shortleaf pine	Pinus echinata
Shumard oak	Quercus shumardii

Common name	Scientific name
Slash pine	Pinus elliottii
Souther red oak	Quercus falcata
Sugar maple	Acer saccharum
Swamp chestnut oak	Quercus michauxii
Sweet gum	Luquidambar styraciflua
Sycamore	Plantanus occidentalis
Virginia pine	Pinus virginiana
Water oak	Qurecus nigra
Water tupelo	Nyssa aquatica
White ash	Fraxinus americana
White gum	Eucalyptus wandoo
White oak	Quercus alba
Willow oak	Quercus phellos
Winged elm	Ulmus alata
Yellow poplar	Liridendron tulipifera

- j. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest: 0.0 metric tonnes
- k. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest (j), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - a. Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
  - b. Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
- l. Volume of secondary feedstock: 14.6% of the total sourced delivered as chips and dust or pine chips, dust or shavings, 19.6% is from certified sources. The feedstock is delivered from within the defined supply base as mapped in section 2.1.
- m. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 0.0%

**2018 Report**

**2017 actual feedstock data**

**Feedstock**

- f. Total volume of Feedstock: 706,675 metric tonnes
- g. Volume of primary feedstock: 535,704 metric tonnes
- h. List percentage of primary feedstock (g), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - Forest Stewardship Council: 1.5%
  - Program for the Endorsement of Forest Certification: 7.6%
  - Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 90.9%
- i. List all species in primary feedstock, including scientific name

Common name	Scientific name
American beech	Fagus grandifolia
American elm	Ulmus americana
Atlantic white cedar	Chamaecyparis thyoides
Black cherry	Prunus serotina
Black gum	nyssa sylvatica
Black jack oak	Quercus marilandica
Black oak	Quercus velutina
Black walnut	Juglans nigra
Cherry bark oak	Qurecus pagoda
Chinkapin oak	Qurecus muehlenbergii
Green ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica
Hackberry	Celtis occidentalis
Hickory	Carya spp.
Holly	Ilex opaca
Laurel oak	Quercus laurifolia

Common name	Scientific name
Live oak	Quercus virginiana
Loblolly pine	Pinus taeda
Longleaf pine	Pinus palustris
Northern red oak	Quercus rubra
Overcup oak	Quercus lyrata
Pecan	Cayra illinoensis
Persimmon	Diospyros virginiana
Pond pine	Pinus serotina
Post oak	Quercus stellata
Red maple	Acer rubrum
River birch	Betula nigra
River oak	Casuarina cunninghamiana
Shortleaf pine	Pinus echinata
Shumard oak	Quercus shumardii

Common name	Scientific name
Slash pine	Pinus elliottii
Souther red oak	Quercus falcata
Sugar maple	Acer saccharum
Swamp chestnut oak	Quercus michauxii
Sweet gum	Luquidambar styraciflua
Sycamore	Plantanus occidentalis
Virginia pine	Pinus virginiana
Water oak	Qurecus nigra
Water tupelo	Nyssa aquatica
White ash	Fraxinus americana
White gum	Eucalyptus wandoo
White oak	Quercus alba
Willow oak	Quercus phellos
Winged elm	Ulmus alata
Yellow poplar	Liridendron tulipifera

- j. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest: 0.0 metric tonnes
- k. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest (j), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - a. Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
  - b. Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
- l. Volume of secondary feedstock: 24.2% of the total sourced delivered as chips and dust or pine chips, dust or shavings. The feedstock is delivered from within the defined supply base as mapped in section 2.1.
- m. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 0.0%

**2017 Report**

**2016 actual feedstock data**

**Feedstock**

- f. Total volume of Feedstock: 706,675 metric tonnes
- g. Volume of primary feedstock: 535,704 metric tonnes
- h. List percentage of primary feedstock (g), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - a. Forest Stewardship Council: 1.5%
  - b. Program for the Endorsement of Forest Certification: 7.6%
  - c. Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 90.9%
- i. List all species in primary feedstock, including scientific name

Common name	Scientific name
American beech	Fagus grandifolia
American elm	Ulmus americana
Atlantic white cedar	Chamaecyparis thyoides
Black cherry	Prunus serotina
Black gum	nyssa sylvatica
Black jack oak	Quercus marilandica
Black oak	Quercus velutina
Black walnut	Juglans nigra
Cherry bark oak	Qurecus pagoda
Chinkapin oak	Qurecus muehlenbergii
Green ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica
Hackberry	Celtis occidentalis
Hickory	Carya spp.
Holly	Ilex opaca
Laurel oak	Quercus laurifolia

Common name	Scientific name
Live oak	Quercus virginiana
Loblolly pine	Pinus taeda
Longleaf pine	Pinus palustris
Northern red oak	Quercus rubra
Overcup oak	Quercus lyrata
Pecan	Cayra illinoensis
Persimmon	Diospyros virginiana
Pond pine	Pinus serotina
Post oak	Quercus stellata
Red maple	Acer rubrum
River birch	Betula nigra
River oak	Casuarina cunninghamiana
Shortleaf pine	Pinus echinata
Shumard oak	Quercus shumardii

Common name	Scientific name
Slash pine	Pinus elliottii
Souther red oak	Quercus falcata
Sugar maple	Acer saccharum

Swamp chestnut oak	Quercus michauxii
Sweet gum	Luquidambar styraciflua
Sycamore	Plantanus occidentalis
Virginia pine	Pinus virginiana
Water oak	Qurecus nigra
Water tupelo	Nyssa aquatica
White ash	Fraxinus americana
White gum	Eucalyptus wandoo
White oak	Quercus alba
Willow oak	Quercus phellos
Winged elm	Ulmus alata
Yellow poplar	Liridendron tulipifera

- j. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest: 0.0 metric tonnes
- k. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest (j), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - a. Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
  - b. Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
- l. Volume of secondary feedstock: 24.2% of the total sourced delivered as chips and dust or pine chips, dust or shavings. The feedstock is delivered from within the defined supply base as mapped in section 2.1.
- m. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 0.0%

**13.5 Projected figures for feedstock over the next 12 months**

**Estimate for 2019**

**Feedstock**

- f. Total volume of Feedstock: 652,083 metric tonnes
- g. Volume of primary feedstock: 528,907 metric tonnes
- h. List percentage of primary feedstock (g), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - a. Forest Stewardship Council: 2.1%
  - b. Program for the Endorsement of Forest Certification: 6.7%
  - c. Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 91.2%
- i. List all species in primary feedstock, including scientific name

Common name	Scientific name
American beech	Fagus grandifolia
American elm	Ulmus americana
Atlantic white cedar	Chamaecyparis thyoides
Black cherry	Prunus serotina
Black gum	nyssa sylvatica
Black jack oak	Quercus marilandica
Black oak	Quercus velutina
Black walnut	Juglans nigra
Cherry bark oak	Qurecus pagoda
Chinkapin oak	Qurecus muehlenbergii
Green ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica
Hackberry	Celtis occidentalis
Hickory	Carya spp.
Holly	Ilex opaca
Laurel oak	Quercus laurifolia

Common name	Scientific name
Live oak	Quercus virginiana
Loblolly pine	Pinus taeda
Longleaf pine	Pinus palustris
Northern red oak	Quercus rubra
Overcup oak	Quercus lyrata
Pecan	Cayra illinoensis
Persimmon	Diospyros virginiana
Pond pine	Pinus serotina
Post oak	Quercus stellata
Red maple	Acer rubrum
River birch	Betula nigra
River oak	Casuarina cunninghamiana
Shortleaf pine	Pinus echinata
Shumard oak	Quercus shumardii

Common name	Scientific name
Slash pine	Pinus elliottii
Souther red oak	Quercus falcata
Sugar maple	Acer saccharum
Swamp chestnut oak	Quercus michauxii
Sweet gum	Luquidambar styraciflua
Sycamore	Plantanus occidentalis
Virginia pine	Pinus virginiana
Water oak	Qurecus nigra
Water tupelo	Nyssa aquatica
White ash	Fraxinus americana
White gum	Eucalyptus wandoo
White oak	Quercus alba
Willow oak	Quercus phellos
Winged elm	Ulmus alata
Yellow poplar	Liridendron tulipifera

- j. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest: 0.0 metric tonnes

- k. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest (j), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - a. Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
  - b. Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
- l. Volume of secondary feedstock: 14.6% of the total sourced delivered as chips and dust or pine chips, dust or shavings, 19.6% is from certified sources. The feedstock is delivered from within the defined supply base as mapped in section 2.1.
- m. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 0.0%

**2018 Report**

**2017 estimate feedstock data for 2018**

**Feedstock**

- f. Total volume of Feedstock: 706,675 metric tonnes
- g. Volume of primary feedstock: 535,704 metric tonnes
- h. List percentage of primary feedstock (g), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - Forest Stewardship Council: 1.5%
  - Program for the Endorsement of Forest Certification: 7.6%
  - Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 90.9%
- i. List all species in primary feedstock, including scientific name

Common name	Scientific name
American beech	Fagus grandifolia
American elm	Ulmus americana
Atlantic white cedar	Chamaecyparis thyoides
Black cherry	Prunus serotina
Black gum	nyssa sylvatica
Black jack oak	Quercus marilandica
Black oak	Quercus velutina
Black walnut	Juglans nigra
Cherry bark oak	Qurecus pagoda
Chinkapin oak	Qurecus muehlenbergii
Green ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica
Hackberry	Celtis occidentalis
Hickory	Carya spp.
Holly	Ilex opaca
Laurel oak	Quercus laurifolia

Common name	Scientific name
Live oak	Quercus virginiana
Loblolly pine	Pinus taeda
Longleaf pine	Pinus palustris
Northern red oak	Quercus rubra
Overcup oak	Quercus lyrata
Pecan	Cayra illinoensis
Persimmon	Diospyros virginiana
Pond pine	Pinus serotina
Post oak	Quercus stellata
Red maple	Acer rubrum
River birch	Betula nigra
River oak	Casuarina cunninghamiana
Shortleaf pine	Pinus echinata
Shumard oak	Quercus shumardii

Common name	Scientific name
Slash pine	Pinus elliottii
Souther red oak	Quercus falcata
Sugar maple	Acer saccharum
Swamp chestnut oak	Quercus michauxii

Sweet gum	Luquidambar styraciflua
Sycamore	Plantanus occidentalis
Virginia pine	Pinus virginiana
Water oak	Qurecus nigra
Water tupelo	Nyssa aquatica
White ash	Fraxinus americana
White gum	Eucalyptus wandoo
White oak	Quercus alba
Willow oak	Quercus phellos
Winged elm	Ulmus alata
Yellow poplar	Liridendron tulipifera

- j. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest: 0.0 metric tonnes
- k. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest (j), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - a. Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
  - b. Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
- l. Volume of secondary feedstock: 24.2% of the total sourced delivered as chips and dust or pine chips, dust or shavings. The feedstock is delivered from within the defined supply base as mapped in section 2.1.
- m. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 0.0%

**2017 Report**

**2016 estimate feedstock data for 2017**

**Feedstock**

- f. Total volume of Feedstock: 706,675 metric tonnes
- g. Volume of primary feedstock: 535,704 metric tonnes
- h. List percentage of primary feedstock (g), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - a. Forest Stewardship Council: 1.5%
  - b. Program for the Endorsement of Forest Certification: 7.6%
  - c. Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 90.9%
- i. List all species in primary feedstock, including scientific name

Common name	Scientific name
American beech	Fagus grandifolia
American elm	Ulmus americana
Atlantic white cedar	Chamaecyparis thyoides
Black cherry	Prunus serotina
Black gum	nyssa sylvatica
Black jack oak	Quercus marilandica
Black oak	Quercus velutina
Black walnut	Juglans nigra
Cherry bark oak	Qurecus pagoda
Chinkapin oak	Qurecus muehlenbergii
Green ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica
Hackberry	Celtis occidentalis
Hickory	Carya spp.
Holly	Ilex opaca
Laurel oak	Quercus laurifolia

Common name	Scientific name
Live oak	Quercus virginiana
Loblolly pine	Pinus taeda
Longleaf pine	Pinus palustris
Northern red oak	Quercus rubra
Overcup oak	Quercus lyrata
Pecan	Cayra illinoensis
Persimmon	Diospyros virginiana
Pond pine	Pinus serotina
Post oak	Quercus stellata
Red maple	Acer rubrum
River birch	Betula nigra
River oak	Casuarina cunninghamiana
Shortleaf pine	Pinus echinata
Shumard oak	Quercus shumardii

Common name	Scientific name
Slash pine	Pinus elliottii
Souther red oak	Quercus falcata
Sugar maple	Acer saccharum
Swamp chestnut oak	Quercus michauxii
Sweet gum	Luquidambar styraciflua
Sycamore	Plantanus occidentalis
Virginia pine	Pinus virginiana
Water oak	Qurecus nigra
Water tupelo	Nyssa aquatica
White ash	Fraxinus americana
White gum	Eucalyptus wandoo
White oak	Quercus alba
Willow oak	Quercus phellos
Winged elm	Ulmus alata
Yellow poplar	Liridendron tulipifera

- j. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest: 0.0 metric tonnes

- k. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest (j), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
  - a. Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
  - b. Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0.0
- l. Volume of secondary feedstock: 24.2% of the total sourced delivered as chips and dust or pine chips, dust or shavings. The feedstock is delivered from within the defined supply base as mapped in section 2.1.
- m. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 0.0%

## Appendix Listing of US Ratified ILO Conventions and Multi-lateral Environmental Instruments

### US ratified ILO Conventions

- C053 Officers Competency Certificates Convention, 1936
- C055 Shipowners' Liability (Sick and Injured Seamen) Convention, 1936
- C058 Minimum Age (Sea) Convention (Revised), 1936
- C074 Certification of Able Seamen Convention, 1946
- C080 Final Articles Revision Convention, 1946
- C105 Abolition of Forced Labor Convention, 1957
- C147 Merchant Shipping (Minimum Standards) Convention, 1976
- C150 Labor Administration Convention, 1978
- C160 Labor Statistics Convention, 1985
- C176 Safety and Health in Mines Convention, 1995
- C182 Worst Forms of Child Labor Convention, 1999

### US ratified multi-lateral Environmental instruments include:

- Convention for the Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution
- Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
- Convention for the Protection and Development of Marine Environment of the Wider Caribbean Region
- London Convention
- International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973 and subsequent six Protocols
- Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty
- The North American Agreement on Environmental Cooperation

## References

- Chmura Economics & Analytics. (2013). *The Economic Impact of the Enviva Ahoskie Plant on North Carolina and Virginia*.
- Convention on International trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. (2015, August). *CITES*. Retrieved from CITES Appendices: <https://www.cites.org/eng/app/index.php>
- Forest2Market Inc. (2019). *F2M Inventory-Removal Trends - Enviva Supply Regions - 2000-2018*. Charlotte: Forest2Market Inc.
- International Union for the Conservation of Nature. (2015, August). *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. Retrieved from Red List: <http://www.iucnredlist.org/>
- North Carolina Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services. (2015, August). *North Carolina Forest Service*. Retrieved from Forest Development Program: [http://www.ncforestservice.gov/Managing\\_your\\_forest/fdp.htm](http://www.ncforestservice.gov/Managing_your_forest/fdp.htm)
- North Carolina Forest Service. (2019, April 8). *Managing and Regenerating Timber in Bottomland Swamps*. Retrieved from North Carolina Forestry Library: [http://ncforestry.info/ncdfr/managing\\_and\\_regenerating\\_timber\\_in\\_bottomland\\_swamps/](http://ncforestry.info/ncdfr/managing_and_regenerating_timber_in_bottomland_swamps/)
- South Carolina Forestry Commissions. (2018). *South Carolina Forestry Commission Forest Management*. Retrieved from <http://www.state.sc.us/forest/fmgt.htm>
- United States Department of Labor. (2016, August). *Bureau of Labor Statistics*. Retrieved from Databases, Table & Calculators by Subject: <http://www.bls.gov/data/>
- United States Department of the Interior Geological Survey. (2015, August). *USGS*. Retrieved from Land Cover Trends Project: <http://landcoverrends.usgs.gov/main/ecoIndex.html>
- US Department of Agriculture Forest Service. (2014, August). *USDA Unites States Forest Service Southern Research Station*. Retrieved from Timber Product Output (TPO) Reports: [http://srsfia2.fs.fed.us/php/tpo\\_2009/tpo\\_rpa\\_int1.php](http://srsfia2.fs.fed.us/php/tpo_2009/tpo_rpa_int1.php)
- US Department of Agriculture Forest Service. (2017, September). *USDA Forest Service*. Retrieved from Forest Inventory and Analysis National Program EVALIDator Version 1.5.05: <http://apps.fs.fed.us/Evalidator/evaluator.jsp>; 2012 Data
- US Department of Agriculture Forest Service. (2017, August). *USDA Forest Service*. Retrieved from Forest Inventory and Analysis National Program EVALIDator Version 1.5.05: <http://apps.fs.fed.us/Evalidator/evaluator.jsp>; 2012 Data
- Virginia Department of Forestry. (2015, August). *Virginia Department of Forestry*. Retrieved from Cost Share Programs: <http://www.dof.virginia.gov/costshare/index.htm>

**Annex 1: Detailed Findings for Supply Base Evaluation Indicators**

## Preamble

Enviva's Ahoskie Pellet mill is located in the United States. The country has a robust legal system developed using democratic processes. The "rule of law" social system is acknowledged by the World Bank as ranking in the top 90th percentile in *Government Effectiveness* and *Rule of Law and Regulatory Quality*, indicating that the United States has proven that it possesses effective means to ensure all laws and regulatory requirements are met or addressed if lacking through legal recourse. All verifiers were reviewed by third party auditors. **Internal verifiers** (identified in bold text) may contain sensitive information that cannot be made publicly available. External verifiers are publicly available.

Enviva used the FSC US Controlled Wood Risk Assessment V1.0 D3.0 (FSC US CWNRA) as the basis for its risk assessment and supply base evaluation. SBP has yet to receive a Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) for the US to evaluate for approval and considers all of the currently available assessment resources in and of themselves to be only partially adequate in assessing high conservation value and conversion indicators.

If a BP is referencing a publicly available third party multi-stakeholder risk assessment such as the FSC US CWNRA, and where the nature and location of the specified risk are located within the BP's Supply Base, and the assessment of risk has been completed, the risk rating assigned by the authors should be used unless the BP can provide additional new verification data to prove low risk.

## Enviva's forestry certifications

Enviva maintains third party certifications including:

- ©Sustainable Biomass Program
- American Tree Farm System™ Independently Managed Group
- Forest Stewardship Council® (FSC) Chain of Custody and Controlled Wood Standard
- Program for the Endorsement of Forest Certifications™ (PEFC) Chain of Custody
- Sustainable Forestry Initiative® (SFI) Wood Sourcing
- Sustainable Forestry Initiative® (SFI) Chain of Custody

## Tools used to develop the Supply Base Evaluation

Enviva developed this supply base evaluation using the FSC US CWNRA and its PEFC Due Diligence System as a basis. Enviva also used a report prepared for the American Hardwood Export Council (AHEC) entitled, *Assessment of Lawful Harvesting & Sustainability of US Hardwood Exports*. Other sources of information include but are not limited to; FSC High Conservation Area Mapping tool, The Nature Conservancy website and various shapefiles, World Wildlife Fund, World Bank Governance Index, Illegal Logging Portal, Transparency International, Green Peace, Conservation International, World Resources Institute, Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species, International Union for Conservation of Nature and the Databasin web mapping tool.

## Supplier level assessment

Enviva uses its proprietary Track & Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold

to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva’s Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract’s ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill.

Enviva developed the Enviva Forest Conservation Fund HCV Tract Approval Process (HCV Tract Approval Process) to ensure forest management activities do not harm sensitive eco-systems, habitats or threaten biodiversity in its sourcing practices. Each tract is assessed using a set of criteria that include the tract location within known bottomland forest settings. Every tract is evaluated for forest health concerns, wildlife considerations, location within the landscape, conservation value and other criteria. Enviva will only purchase wood from a tract if the assessment determines harvesting is the best outcome for the forest.

Enviva’s annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows secondary feedstock suppliers to meet the requirements described in SBP’s Normative Interpretations Document. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. Enviva collects information from each supplier including; the suppliers sourcing area, species consumed by the mill, certification information, etc, and evaluates each secondary feedstock supplier in relation to Enviva’s supply base evaluation to determine if the feedstock they are supplying is SBP-compliant or SBP-controlled. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators.

This approach is also in alignment with SBP Guidance Document: Meeting SBP Criteria in relation to protecting exceptional conservation values in the southern US. The process Enviva employ’s through its District of Origin Process and annual Supplier Data Request process ensures Enviva can meet and exceed the guidance provided in the document therefore providing conformance to indicators 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 and confirming low risk.

**Forestry best management practices**

Many of the indicators contain references to forestry BMP’s (BMP). BMP guidelines were developed at the state level in response to the federal Clean Water Act requirement pertaining to non-point source water quality. Most states have monitoring programs to evaluate BP effectiveness and compliance rates, and some states require their use. Enviva and many other wood industry companies, however, require the use of forestry BMP’s regardless of the state’s stance. Table 1 below\* shows the high rate of BMP compliance across Enviva’s supply base area. Though forestry BMP’s are not a complete solution to many of the criteria they do serve as a measure of sound forestry practices.

Table 1. Selected Percent Forestry Best Management Compliance Rates by State<sup>1</sup>

State	Timber Harvesting	Forest Roads	Skid Trails	Log Landings	Stream Crossings	SMZ <sup>2</sup>	Wetlands	Reforestation	State Average
NC		84	82		72	91			85
SC	94	98			81	92		100	91
VA		94	84	70	68	86	91		90

1. Not all categories are ranked in every state

2. Streamside Management Zone


\*Source National Association of State Foresters publication, *Protecting Water Quality through State Forestry BMP's* ([https://stateforesters.org/sites/default/files/issues-and-policies-document-attachments/Protecting\\_Water\\_Quality\\_through\\_State\\_Forestry\\_BMPs\\_FINAL.pdf](https://stateforesters.org/sites/default/files/issues-and-policies-document-attachments/Protecting_Water_Quality_through_State_Forestry_BMPs_FINAL.pdf))

### **Means of Verification**

SBP's definition of means of verification: A systematic collection and review of objective evidence to verify compliance with a specified criterion. Evidence may include records, statements of fact or other information which are verifiable.

In some indicators this can be achieved with accessible third-party information. For instance, indicator 2.1.1 the identification of areas with high conservation values can be accomplished using publicly available third-party sources of information though Enviva goes farther by continually engaging with willing stakeholders like The Nature Conservancy, The Forest Trust and others interested in meaningful engagement. We do this to ensure we have the most up to date information and some of that work is proprietary.

In other indicators such as 2.1.2 proof of implementation of appropriate controls and procedures to identify and address potential threats requires signed contract, internal audit forms, assessment processes. Many if these documents contain sensitive information about our suppliers, where and how they purchase wood and performance information necessary to conform to the SBP Standards. Some of the documents are internal working and procedures documents Enviva staff use to ensure we consistently perform our tasks in a manner that can be verified through third-party audits. The approach aligns with SBP Guidance Document: Assessment of risk, means of verification and mitigation measures in the southeast US.



	Indicator
1.1.1	The Biomass Producer's Supply Base is defined and mapped.
Finding	<p>Primary feedstock suppliers are traced through Enviva's proprietary Track &amp; Trace Program that collects information at the tract level, including data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva's Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract's ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill.</p> <p>Supplier sourcing areas are tracked through a robust District of Origin and Supplier Data Request process that annually require suppliers to describe: their supply area's country of origin, size of their sourcing area, species used, and how they track their primary wood supply. Enviva's supply base area includes counties in North Carolina, South Carolina and Virginia. Data is entered into computer programs and reviewed annually to ensure appropriateness. This information is used to define the supply area and create maps.</p> <p>ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedures is an internal document describing the process Enviva follows to ensure it knows where feedstocks originate.</p> <p>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Source Risk Assessment is defines how the supply area is assessed for risk to satisfy PEFC requirements, the basis of the SBP system.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Enviva's Chain of Custody certifications require the company to develop and maintain a Controlled Wood Risk Assessment/ Due Diligence System that ensure Enviva annually reviews its supply base area for accuracy. The risk of wood from un-known regions entering Enviva's supply is low</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. <b>ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure</b></li> <li>c. <b>District of Origin Supplier Data Request Form</b></li> <li>d. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Source Risk Assessment</b></li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
1.1.2	Feedstock can be traced back to the defined Supply Base.
Finding	<p>Primary feedstock suppliers are traced through Enviva's proprietary Track &amp; Trace Program that collects information at the tract level, including data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva's Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract's ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill.</p>

	<p>Supplier sourcing areas are tracked through a robust District of Origin and Supplier Data Request process that annually require suppliers to describe: their supply area's country of origin, size of their sourcing area, species used, and how they track their primary wood supply. Enviva's supply base area includes counties in North Carolina, South Carolina and Virginia. Data is entered into computer programs and reviewed annually to ensure appropriateness.</p> <p>Enviva maintains FSC and/or PEFC CoC certification for all Enviva pellet mills. These certifications track wood through the supply chain, while also ensuring unwanted sources of wood do not enter the supply chain.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p>ENV-SFI-01 SFIS Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual is Enviva's SFI feedstock sourcing manual. Indicator 2.1.2 requires the use of written agreements (Master Wood Purchase Agreement) for all feedstocks sourced from the forest and the use of forestry best management practices. Enviva extends this requirement to secondary feedstock sources as well. This means Enviva will only purchase feedstocks from companies where we have an existing business relationship.</p> <p>ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody Procedure and ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedures describe the work flow for ensuring Enviva satisfies the chain of custody requirements for its three CoC systems. These systems are designed to follow both certified feedstocks and FSC Controlled Wood/Controlled Source feedstocks to their county of origin, at a minimum.</p> <p>The District of Origin Data Request Form is a document used to collect information from secondary feedstock suppliers to establish the counties they source wood.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u>                  Enviva's Chain of Custody certifications require the company to develop and maintain a Controlled Wood Risk Assessment/ Due Diligence System that ensures that the origin of all feedstocks is known.</p>
<p>Means of Verification</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li><b>b. ENV-SFI-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li><b>c. Track &amp; Trace Program</b></li> <li><b>d. ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody Procedure</b></li> <li><b>e. ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure</b></li> <li><b>f. District of Origin Supplier Data Request Form</b></li> <li><b>g. Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> </ul>
<p>Evidence Reviewed</p>	<p>All means of verification reviewed</p>
<p>Risk Rating</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b></p>

	Indicator
1.1.3	The feedstock input profile is described and categorised by the mix of inputs.
Finding	<p>Enviva tracks purchased and consumed material by product type (in-woods chips, mill residual chips, sawdust and shavings) and general species groupings of softwood or hardwood. Wood is stored at the mill site by product/species and input is verified by monthly inventory processes. Enviva maintains FSC and/or PEFC CoC certification for this pellet mill.</p> <p>ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody Procedure requires a PEFC certificate holder to develop a process to describe feedstock profiles for the purpose of tracking through processing.</p> <p>ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedures describes how feedstock purchases are categorized before purchase.</p> <p>The Monthly Wood Excel is a mill site-specific workbook used to track tons of each feedstock type into and through the process from raw material to final product.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> These certifications track feedstock through the supply chain, while also ensuring unwanted sources of wood do not enter the supply chain.</p>
Means of Verification	<p>a. Preamble citations</p> <p><b>b. ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody Procedure</b></p> <p><b>c. ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure</b></p> <p><b>d. Monthly Wood Excel</b></p>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
1.2.1	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that legality of ownership and land use can be demonstrated for the Supply Base.
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>Enviva requires all suppliers to sign a Master Wood Supply Agreement annually.</p>

Enviva's Track & Trace Program requires suppliers to provide GPS coordinates, landowner name and other pertinent information for each track they harvest and send feedstock to Enviva which enables Enviva to use tax maps to verify ownership if needed.

Enviva's secondary feedstock District of Origin Process ensure it knows the sources of its secondary feedstocks. Enviva evaluates each secondary feedstock supplier to ensure it only purchases wood from credible suppliers.

ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment demonstrates that the rule of law and public agency governance are upheld and so illegality is considered low risk. Enviva has implemented procedures to conform to EUTR.

Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.

The FSC CWNRA finds

1.1 Land tenure and management rights finds the US legality of ownership to be a low risk citing landownership records in the US are highly reliable and frequently used by banking institutions to issue mortgages generally requiring title clearances.

The FSC US CWNRA cited the Seneca Creek Associates, LLC report entitled, *Assessment of Lawful Harvesting & Sustainability of US Hardwood Exports*, "The vast majority of private landowners own small family forests that average less than 10 hectares in size. Numerous legal processes are available to landowners to resolve disputes involving proper title and/or the unauthorized taking or sale of timber property." Seneca Creek Report 2008, p ii.

Further, "Comparisons of international governance indicators, such as those compiled by the World Bank, strongly indicate that the US is perceived as a country with a high regard for the rule of law, an effective environmental, labor and public welfare regulatory environment, and a low level of corruption." Seneca Creek Report 2008, p iii.

US ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law. Evidence of the effectiveness of law enforcement is evident in news reporting and reveals no widespread or systematic criminal activity in the Enviva supply base area.

Enviva also uses sources such as the Illegal Logging Portal to assess the likelihood of illegal logging activity in the supply area. In addition, each state in the supply base area has laws protecting landownership rights and governing land use.

Enviva's Sustainability Policy, Responsible Wood Supply Program publicly describes Enviva's commitment to avoid illegal sources of wood.

Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.

SFI Fiber Sourcing Standard Objective 4 requires a certificate holder to comply with all applicable federal, provincial and local laws and regulations. ENV-SFI-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual describes processes and internal documents Enviva uses to meet the Objective

The PEFC Chain of Custody Standard requires the certificate holder to ensure it knows where feedstocks originate and ensure wood is legally sourced. ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody and ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure are Enviva documents describing the work flow to ensure feedstock are legally sourced.

	<p>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment contains the work done to determine if illegal logging and timber theft are a risk in the supply area. This document uses many of the same sources as the FSC US CWNRA. Both conclude illegal logging is a low risk in the Enviva supply area.</p> <p>Enviva will only purchase feedstocks from suppliers who it has an established business relationship and a signed agreement. The Master Wood Purchase Agreement has among its many recitals requirements for feedstock supplier to ensure legality of ownership. This is evaluated as part of periodic supplier audits.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> The risk of illegally harvested wood or wood from land use change entering Enviva's supply chain is low</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li><b>c. ENV-SFI-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li><b>d. Track &amp; Trace Program</b></li> <li><b>e. District of Origin Process</b></li> <li><b>f. ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody</b></li> <li><b>g. ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure</b></li> <li><b>h. ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li><b>i. Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>j. Enviva Sustainability Policy</li> <li>k. World Bank Governance Index</li> <li>l. Illegal Logging Portal</li> <li>m. State laws</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
1.3.1	The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that feedstock is legally harvested and supplied and is in compliance with EUTR legality requirements.
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>Enviva requires all suppliers to sign an annual Master Wood Supply Agreement.</p>

Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure Enviva is aware of changes. The analysis includes a review of appropriate laws regarding legality of harvest and compliance with EUTR requirements.

Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.

The FSC US CWNRA:

1.2 Concessions on licenses determined a low risk rating in the US for legality of harvest in determining, "On the whole, the risk of illegality in entering into contracts, public or private, is real, but is considered low."

1.4 Harvesting permits – "Corruption associated with timber sales and harvesting permits in the US is generally not an issue."

Some additional evidence includes:

- [www.illegal-logging.info](http://www.illegal-logging.info) - indicates Enviva's sourcing area is not at risk for illegal logging
- [www.eia-international.org](http://www.eia-international.org) - indicates a low risk for trade in illegally logged wood
- [www.eldis.org](http://www.eldis.org) - Enviva's supply base area is not included in regions with illegal logging issues
- [www.transparency.org](http://www.transparency.org) - identified no issues with corruption bribery or other illegal activities in the supply base area.

In the United States regulation of forestry practices has its roots in Federal law and in Acts designed to provide guidance to states for developing state specific laws and regulations. The US ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law. Evidence of the effectiveness of law enforcement is evident in news reporting and this reporting reveals no widespread or systematic criminal activity in the Enviva supply base area.

SFI Fiber Sourcing Standard Objective 4 requires a certificate holder to comply with all applicable federal, provincial and local laws and regulations. ENV-SFI-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual describes processes and internal documents Enviva uses to meet the Objective

The PEFC Chain of Custody Standard requires the certificate holder to ensure it knows where feedstocks originate and ensure wood is legally sourced. ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody and ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure are Enviva documents describing the work flow to ensure feedstock are legally sourced.

ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment contains the work done to determine if illegal logging and timber theft are a risk in the supply area. This document uses many of the same sources as the FSC US CWNRA. Both conclude illegal logging is a low risk in the Enviva supply area.

Enviva will only purchase feedstocks from suppliers who it has an established business relationship and a signed agreement. The Master Wood Purchase Agreement has among its many recitals requirements for feedstock supplier to ensure legality of ownership. This is evaluated as part of periodic supplier audits.

Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.

	<p>Enviva’s Sustainability Policy, Responsible Wood Supply Program publicly describes Enviva’s commitment to avoid illegal sources of wood. Enviva EUTR Compliance Document is the report Enviva provides to its customers upon request describing how it meets EUTR requirements.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Enviva is in compliance with EUTR legality requirements.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>ENV-SFIS-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li>d. <b>ENV-PEFCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody</b></li> <li>e. <b>ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure</b></li> <li>f. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>g. Enviva Sustainability Policy</li> <li>h. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>i. <b>Enviva EUTR Compliance Document</b></li> <li>j. Assessment of Lawful Harvesting &amp; Sustainability of US Hardwood Export Council</li> <li>k. World Bank Governance Index</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
<b>1.4.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to verify that payments for harvest rights and timber, including duties, relevant royalties and taxes related to timber harvesting, are complete and up to date.
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations including payment of royalties and taxes. The contract also includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>Enviva requires all suppliers to sign an annual Master Wood Supply Agreement.</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure Enviva is aware of changes. The analysis includes a review of the existence of appropriate laws to ensure the payment of relevant fees and taxes.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement. Some relevant FSC US CWNRA indicators</p>

	<p>1.5 <u>Payment of royalties and harvesting fees</u> there is no evidence of efforts to avoid payment and determined a low risk rating</p> <p>1.6 <u>Value added taxes and other sales taxes</u> finds a low risk of tax avoidance.</p> <p>1.7 <u>Income and profit taxes</u> concluded there is a low risk these taxes are not paid citing income and profit taxes are levied and managed at the federal and state level.</p> <p>Additional evidence The states in Enviva's supply area all have laws governing taxation. The United States legal system is robust and capable of enforcing these Federal and state laws.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transparency International identified no issues with corruption bribery or other illegal activities in the Enviva supply base area.</li> <li>• AHEC Legality Study determined the region the supply base area is located is a low risk for illegal activity</li> <li>• The World Bank ranked the US in the top 90th percentile in the Rule of Law category</li> </ul> <p>Enviva's Sustainability Policy, Responsible Wood Supply Program publicly describes Enviva's commitment to require suppliers ensure all appropriate payments, fees and taxes are paid.</p> <p>The PEFC Chain of Custody Standard requires the certificate holder to ensure it knows where feedstocks originate and ensure wood is legally sourced. ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody and ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure are Enviva documents describing the work flow to ensure feedstock are legally sourced.</p> <p>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment contains the work done to determine if illegal logging and timber theft are a risk in the supply area. This document uses many of the same sources as the FSC US CWNRA. Both conclude illegal logging is a low risk in the supply area.</p> <p>Enviva will only purchase feedstocks from suppliers who it has an established business relationship and a signed agreement. The Master Wood Purchase Agreement has among its many recitals requirements for feedstock supplier to ensure legality of ownership. This is evaluated as part of periodic supplier audits.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p>In certain state wood consuming mills are required to pay severance taxes on the wood used for manufacturing. These internal records are used to show Enviva's compliance with state requirements.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> There is a low risk of non-payment of taxes, fees, royalties, etc.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>d. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreements</b></li> <li>e. <b>Severance Tax Reports</b></li> <li>f. Transparency International</li> <li>g. World Bank Governance Index</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
1.5.1	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to verify that feedstock is supplied in compliance with the requirements of CITES.
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>Enviva requires all suppliers to sign an annual Master Wood Supply Agreement.</p> <p>Enviva maintains an FSC Controlled Wood Risk Assessment and a PEFC Due Diligence System covering the Enviva supply base area. These assessments determined the supply base area as a low risk for the potential to source CITES species. CITES enforcement is controlled at the federal level involving US Customs and Border Protection, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Services and the US Fish and Wildlife Service.</p> <p>Enviva policies declare that Enviva will avoid being directly or indirectly involved in the purchase of raw material that is in violation of CITES.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>Some FSC US CWNRA finding related to this indicator include</p> <p>1.19 Customs regulations – The Lacey Act and other US code and enforcement find there is a low risk of a US company purchasing species listed by CITES.</p> <p>1.20 CITES finds no tree species with commercial timber value is listed on the CITES Appendices determining the there is a low risk of sourcing CITES species in North America.</p> <p>1.21 Legislation requiring due diligence/due care procedures cites the Lacey Act as the legislation that prohibits the importation of illegally sourced wood into the US.</p> <p>None of the tree species Enviva uses are on the CITES list. None of the feedstock used come from outside of the US</p> <p>The PEFC Chain of Custody Standard requires the certificate holder to ensure it knows feedstocks meet CITES requirements. ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody and ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure are Enviva documents describing the work flow to ensure feedstock are in conformance.</p> <p>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment contains the work done to determine if CITES species are present in the supply area. This document uses many if the same sources as the FSC US CWNRA. Both conclude sourcing CITES listed species is a low risk in the Enviva supply area.</p>

	<p>Enviva’s District of Origin Data Request Form asks the supplier to list the species used at its mill. These species lists are checked against the CITES requirements and are checked during periodic supplier audits.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva’s legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p>Signed Master Wood Purchase Agreements allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> There is a low risk of CITES species being used as feedstock.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>ENV-COC-01 Enviva Chain of Custody Procedures &amp; Implementation</b></li> <li>d. <b>ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure</b></li> <li>e. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>f. <b>District of Origin Supplier Data Request Form</b></li> <li>g. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>h. Enforcement of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species</li> <li>i. Lacey Act and enforcement data</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
<b>1.6.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that feedstock is not sourced from areas where there are violations of traditional or civil rights.
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>Enviva requires all suppliers to sign an annual Master Wood Supply Agreement.</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure Enviva is aware of changes. The analysis includes a review of laws governing traditional and civil rights.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>Some of the FSC US CWNRA findings applicable to this indicator</p>

1.13 Customary rights – “The risk of violating a right held through adverse possession is low. If the right is being held openly and exclusively, the potential violator should be able to discover it through inspection of the land. Overall, customary rights being are not important in forest management, with the possible exception of Native American treaty rights. On balance the risk for this category is assessed as low.”

1.15 Indigenous peoples rights – Violations of Indigenous peoples rights are considered a low risk because of the legal relationship between the federal government and Native American tribes. The two treat each other as sovereigns with treaties that outline tribal rights.

2.1 The forest sector is not associated with violent armed conflict, including that which threatens national or regional security and/or linked to military control to be low risk in the US

2.2 Labor rights are respected including rights as specified in ILO Fundamental Principle and Rights at Work as low risk in the US

2.3 The rights of Indigenous and Traditional Peoples are upheld  
 In the United States, land use and tenure questions have long been decided and in the southeast, there are no indigenous people groups with controversial traditional or civil rights to forestlands. Enviva has a Controlled Sources Risk Assessment System in place to ensure operations do not violate traditional or civil rights. Existing policies declare that Enviva will avoid being directly or indirectly involved in the violation of traditional and human rights. The Enviva wood supply area is not designated within a country or district that is a source of conflict timber. There are tribal and federal government owned Native American reservations within the supply base, but no traditional or civil rights issues have been reported.

The FSC US CWNRA concluded;

“Within the U.S. there is no UN Security Council ban on timber exports, the areas are not designated as a source of conflict timber, child labor does not occur systematically, and ILO Fundamental Principles and rights at work are generally respected. In addition, the U.S. has recognized and equitable processes in place to resolve conflicts of substantial magnitude pertaining to traditional rights including use rights, cultural interests or traditional cultural identity. In the U.S., Native Americans with a land base are recognized as Sovereign Nations and accorded rights to manage their land and affairs. In addition, Native Americans have an equitable process to resolve conflicts over land management. Through the U.S. court system, many Native American tribes have challenged, won decisions, and resolved issues concerning land management and use rights. There are many examples within the U.S. where tribes have successfully been able to exercise treaty rights through formal and informal conflict resolutions systems.”

The Seneca Creek, LLC report entitled, *Assessment of Lawful Harvesting & Sustainability of US Hardwood Exports* found the same to be true.

SFI Fiber Sourcing Standard requires a certificate holder to have written policies to “comply with social laws, such as those covering civil rights, equal employment opportunities, anti-discrimination and anti-harassment measures, worker’s compensation, indigenous peoples’ rights, workers’ and communities’ right to know, prevailing wages, workers right to organize, and occupational health and safety.” ENV-SFI-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual describes processes and internal documents Enviva uses to meet the requirements.

The PEFC Chain of Custody Standard requires the certificate holder to demonstrate it avoids sources that violate traditional and human rights. ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain

	<p>of Custody and ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure are Enviva documents describing the work flow to ensure feedstocks meet these requirements.</p> <p>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment contains the work done to determine if there is a risk of violating traditional and civil rights in the supply area. This document uses many if the same sources as the FSC US CWNRA. Both conclude a low risk of violating traditional and civil rights in the Enviva supply area.</p> <p>Enviva will only purchase feedstocks from suppliers who it has an established business relationship and a signed agreement. The Master Wood Purchase Agreement has among its many recitals requirements for feedstock supplier to ensure legality of ownership. This is evaluated as part of periodic supplier audits.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva’s legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p>Signed Master Wood Purchase Agreements allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p>Enviva’s Sustainability Policy, Responsible Wood Supply Program publicly describes Enviva’s commitment to avoid sources of wool that violate traditional and civil rights.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> There is a low risk Enviva’s sourcing practices are a threat to traditional or civil rights.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. Assessment of Lawful Harvesting &amp; Sustainability of US Hardwood Exports</li> <li>d. <b>ENV-COC-01 Enviva Chain of Custody Procedures &amp; Implementation</b></li> <li>e. <b>ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure</b></li> <li>f. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>g. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>h. Enviva Sustainability Policy</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
2.1.1	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that forests and other areas with high conservation values are identified and mapped.
Finding	<p>Enviva’s Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure it Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts, forestry best management practices in all of Enviva’s supply areas. The analysis includes the identification of forested areas that may contain high conservation values.</p> <p>Enviva used the FSC US CWNRA as a basis to identify and map forested areas of high conservation value, areas of high biodiversity and species or concern. The SBP Guidance Document: Assessment of Risk, Means of Verification and Mitigation Measures in the Southeast US provides the platform for using the FSC US CWNRA as a basis for the BP’s risk assessment.</p> <p>Enviva only uses woody biomass as a feedstock. Non-forested areas of high conservation value are excluded from the supply base evaluation. Enviva’s sourcing policies and suppliers do not impact these non-forested areas. The definition of forest land is defined according to the USFS as, “Land that has at least 10 percent crown cover by live tally trees of any size or has had at least 10 percent canopy cover of live tally species in the</p>

past, based on the presence of stumps, snags, or other evidence. To qualify, the area must be at least 1.0 acre in size and 120.0 feet wide. Forest land includes transition zones, such as areas between forest and non-forest lands that meet the minimal tree stocking/cover and forest areas adjacent to urban and built-up lands. Roadside, streamside, and shelterbelt strips of trees must have a width of at least 120 feet and continuous length of at least 363 feet to qualify as forest land. Unimproved roads and trails, streams, and clearings in forest areas are classified as forest if they are less than 120 feet wide or less than an acre in size. Tree-covered areas in agricultural production settings, such as fruit orchards, or tree-covered areas in urban settings, such as city parks, are not considered forest land.”

The areas of high conservation value described and mapped in the FSC US CWNRA Indicator 3 were compared to the defined supply area. The FSC US CWNRA identified many areas of high conservation value, biodiversity and species that could be affected by harvesting activities. This supply base evaluation only includes those the authors determined to be specified risk. The supply area overlaps the following areas of high conservation value.

**Native Longleaf Pine Systems** – these forest systems are at risk in 23 counties across the southern portion of the supply area. Native longleaf systems threats vary across its natural range with suppression of fire being the greatest concern. Other concerns include conversion to other pine types and incompatible forest management practices.

**Late Successional Bottomland Hardwoods** – found throughout the south in the floodplains of rivers and streams the forests are periodically flooded or saturated. Variations in structure are determined by the location of the late successional bottomland forest. Generally, 80 years or older the forest is better defined by structure; closed canopy, large wood debris, standing hollow trees and little ground vegetation.

**Cape Fear Arch Critical Biodiversity Area** – The geologic and hydrologic history of the Cape Fear Arch region have resulted in a diversity of wet and dry habitats. This diversity in addition to the sand and limestone deposits that have resulted in a very high diversity of natural communities and associated plant and animal species, particularly in pocosin and longleaf pine habitats. When the canopy has been completely removed through timber harvest, pocosins often do not regenerate.

SFI Fiber Sourcing Standard requires a certificate holder to promote and conserve biological diversity by doing one or more of the following:

- a. Promotion of biological diversity utilizing information from organizations such as World Resource Institute, The Nature Conservancy, NatureServe, Conservation International, State Wildlife Action Plans and assessments;
- b. Conducting local and regional level landscape assessments;
- c. Involvement with local or regional conservation efforts;
- d. Use of relevant information on biological diversity from credible sources (such as those noted above) in approved training and education programs; and
- e. Other credible approaches

ENV-SFI-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual describes processes and internal documents Enviva uses to meet the SFI requirements related to Objective 1 “Biodiversity in Fiber Sourcing. To address the practice of sustainable forestry by conserving biological diversity”. Further, as part of our Responsible Sourcing Policy, we solicit and receive detailed feedback on our current policies and suggestions for how to improve it from the following non-profit and agencies:

- The Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies and The National Wildlife Federation

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Nature Conservancy</li> <li>• Audubon</li> <li>• World Wildlife Fund</li> <li>• National Council for Air and Stream Improvement</li> <li>• The Conservation Fund</li> <li>• The Forest Trust</li> </ul> <p>The PEFC Chain of Custody Standard requires the certificate holder to ensure it knows where feedstocks originate and evaluate its supply area to determine if there are areas of high conservation value as part of its Due Diligence System. ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is Enviva’s PEFC Due Diligence System and it contains the work done to determine where areas of high conservation are located within the supply area. This document uses many if the same sources as the FSC US CWNRA.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> According to SBP Guidance Document: Assessment of Risk, Means of Verification and Mitigation Measures in the Southeast US, “SBP has yet to receive a Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) for the US to evaluate for approval and considers all of the currently available assessment resources in and of themselves to be only partially adequate in assessing high conservation value and conversion indicators.” Use of the FSC CWNRA is suggested but is considered incomplete.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>ENV-SFIS-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li>d. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>e. <b>Stakeholder engagement information</b></li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	<p><u>Management System</u> Enviva will annually review it’s Means of Verification and engage with Stakeholders to ensure it can accurately identify and map forests and other areas of high conservation values in its supply base area. As new information is found it will be incorporated into the supply base evaluation.</p> <p>Enviva engages with willing stakeholders to continually assess for potential areas of high conservation value (<a href="https://www.greenbiz.com/article/stakeholder-engagement-how-enviva-moved-crisis-collaboration">https://www.greenbiz.com/article/stakeholder-engagement-how-enviva-moved-crisis-collaboration</a>). We find these engagements and subsequent collaborations to be both enlightening and beneficial in the promotion of sustainable forest management</p>
Risk Rating After Mitigation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
2.1.2	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to identify and address potential threats to forests and other areas with high conservation values from forest management activities.

<p>Finding</p>	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>Enviva requires all suppliers to sign an annual Master Wood Supply Agreement.</p> <p>Each of the identified biodiversity areas have attributes that could be affected by forest management activities</p> <p>Cape Fear Arch – Contains areas such as pocosins and Carolina bays that can be affected by improper timber harvesting techniques such as changes in hydraulic functions, conversion of native pine to planted pine, conversion to agriculture, quarrying, urban development, improper removal of pine straw, etc. Conversion of these sites to other uses occurred primarily the early 20<sup>th</sup> century and those that remain are indeed special. Enviva’s Track &amp; Trace Program, HCV Tract Approval Process and District of Origin Process ensure Enviva can identify these at-risk areas and avoid sourcing from them if the forest activity will have a negative impact on the forest site.</p> <p>Native Longleaf Pine Systems – Once covering an estimated 36.4 million hectares native longleaf pine stands was once the most ecologically important tree species in the southern US. The species now covers only 5% of its original space. Because of its reduced area it is considered one of the rarest systems in the world containing a high plant and animal diversity. These are fire depended forest that, in a natural, have little to no mid-canopy with a grass covered floor. Threats include conversion to other pine species, conversion to agriculture and fire suppression. There are ongoing efforts to re-establish the species and Enviva actively works with others interested in re-establishing the species in areas where it once thrived. Forest residue removal of low grade competing tree species from within these stands creates the desired habitat without the use of fire. Enviva purchases this low-grade wood to make pellets allowing owners of longleaf pine stands to properly manage them. Enviva’s Track &amp; Trace Program, HCV Tract Approval Process and District of Origin Process ensure Enviva can identify these at-risk areas and avoid sourcing from them if the forest activity will have a negative impact on the forest site.</p> <p>Lat Successional Bottomland Hardwoods – These floodplain forests are periodically inundated or contain saturated soils. Hydrology is key to their survival and changes in hydrology can affect their ability to thrive. Forest management activities are not necessarily the threat to these systems, but improper forest management activity can be. Improper treatment of hydrology concerns and poorly planned harvesting techniques are generally the concern. Enviva’s Track &amp; Trace Program, HCV Tract Approval Process and District of Origin Process ensure Enviva can identify these at-risk areas and avoid sourcing from them if the forest activity will have a negative impact on the forest site.</p> <p>Primary Feedstock          Enviva uses its proprietary Track &amp; Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS</p>
----------------	--

coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva’s Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract’s ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill. Enviva uses random field audits to confirm the information provided is accurate.

Enviva's HCV Tract Approval Process ensures forest management activities do not harm sensitive eco-systems, habitats or threaten biodiversity in its sourcing practices. Each tract is assessed using a set of criteria that include the tract location within known bottomland forest settings. Every tract is evaluated for forest health concerns, wildlife considerations, location within the landscape, conservation value and other criteria. Enviva will only purchase wood from a tract if the assessment determines harvesting is the best outcome for the forest.

**Secondary Feedstock**

Enviva's Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers’ sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.

Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows it to assess secondary feedstock mills as described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators.

This approach is in alignment with SBP Guidance Document: Meeting SBP Criteria in relation to protecting exceptional conservation values in the southern US. The process Enviva employ’s through its District of Origin Process and annual Supplier Data Request process ensures Enviva can meet and exceed the guidance provided in the document therefore providing conformance to indicators 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 and confirming low risk.

The approach also aligns with SBP Guidance Document: Assessment of Risk, Means of Verification and Mitigation Measures in the Southeast US describing the where mitigation measures are assessed;

Enviva’s Sustainability Policy, Responsible Wood Supply Program publicly describes Enviva’s commitment to avoid wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities.

ENV-SFI-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual describes processes and internal documents Enviva uses to meet the SFI requirements related to Objective 1 “Biodiversity in Fiber Sourcing. To address the practice of sustainable forestry by conserving biological diversity”. Further, as part of our Responsible Sourcing Policy, we solicit and receive detailed feedback on our current policies and suggestions for how to improve it from the following non-profit and agencies:

- The Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies and The National Wildlife Federation
- The Nature Conservancy
- Audubon
- World Wildlife Fund

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National Council for Air and Stream Improvement</li> <li>• The Conservation Fund</li> <li>• The Forest Trust</li> </ul> <p>The PEFC Chain of Custody Standard requires the certificate holder to ensure it knows where feedstocks originate and ensure sourcing practices avoid areas of high conservation value. ENV-PEFCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody and ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure are Enviva documents describing the work flow to ensure feedstock are legally sourced.</p> <p>Enviva's Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure Enviva is aware of changes in the supply base area. The analysis includes the identification of the potential threats forest management may pose to high conservation value areas. Enviva will only purchase feedstocks from suppliers who it has an established business relationship and a signed agreement. The Master Wood Purchase Agreement has among its many recitals requirements for feedstock supplier to ensure legality of ownership. This is evaluated as part of periodic supplier audits.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> 2.1.2 is closely related to 2.1.1 and the Biomass Producers ability to identify and map areas of forest and other high conservation values the ability to develop methods to assess the potential impact of forest management activities. This indicator has a presumed specified risk</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>ENV-SFIS-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li>d. <b>ENV-COC-01 Enviva Chain of Custody Procedures &amp; Implementation</b></li> <li>e. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>f. <b>Track &amp; Trace Program</b></li> <li>g. <b>HCV Tract Approval Process</b></li> <li>h. <b>Supplier Audits</b></li> <li>i. State BMP Manuals</li> <li>j. NASF Water Quality Report</li> <li>k. NASF State Forest Management Plans</li> <li>l. NASF State Wildlife Management Plans</li> <li>m. <b>District of Origin procedures and forms</b></li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating Before Mitigation	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comment or Mitigation Measure	<p><u>Management System</u> Enviva will annually review it's Means of Verification and engage with Stakeholders to ensure it can accurately identify and map forests and other areas of high conservation values in its supply base area.</p> <p>Enviva uses its proprietary Track &amp; Trace program, HCV Tract Approval Process and District of Origin Process to identify and address potential threats to forests and other areas with high conservation values from forest management activities.</p> <p>Enviva engages with willing stakeholders to continually assess for potential areas of high conservation value (<a href="https://www.greenbiz.com/article/stakeholder-engagement-how-enviva-moved-crisis-collaboration">https://www.greenbiz.com/article/stakeholder-engagement-how-enviva-moved-crisis-collaboration</a>). We find these engagements and subsequent</p>

	collaborations to be both enlightening and beneficial in the promotion of sustainable forest management
Risk Rating After Mitigation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
<b>2.1.3</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that feedstock is not sourced from forests converted to production plantation forest or non-forest lands after January 2008.
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>Enviva requires all suppliers to sign an annual Master Wood Supply Agreement.</p> <p>Enviva's Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually. The analysis includes a review of land use change trends in the supply area.</p> <p>FSC US CWNRA finds, "Evidence indicates that forestland is growing in the North Central, Northeastern, and Rocky Mountain portions of the United States, while the Southeast and Pacific Coast regions are experiencing forest loss and concurrent rapid population growth.</p> <p>Within the Southeastern United States, the highest rates of urbanization are occurring in the Piedmont region from northern Georgia through North Carolina into Virginia. Forest loss is also occurring along the Atlantic Coast and in eastern Texas. Despite the high rates of urban growth and development across the Southeast, this growth is not consistent across the region."</p> <p>In summary the authors found, "Rates of urban development vary throughout the United States with higher rates in the Pacific Coast Region and portions of the Southeast Region. These two regions are also the regions identified as experiencing more recent forestland loss. Therefore, the greatest risk of materials entering the supply chain from conversions will most likely be in these two regions; however, the risk is not consistent across the regions.</p> <p>SFI Fiber Sourcing Standard requires a certificate holder to use written contracts with suppliers. Though conversion is not addressed in the SFI Fiber Sourcing Standard the requirement for a contract does permit the certificate holder to include other requirements in the document. ENV-SFI-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual describes processes and internal documents Enviva uses to meet the requirement</p> <p>The PEFC Chain of Custody Standard requires the certificate holder to ensure it knows where feedstocks originate and ensure wood does not originate from controversial sources. The definition of conversion sources is not as stringent as SBP's relying only on legality compliance. ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody and ENV-COC-02</p>

Controlled Sourcing Procedure are Enviva documents describing the work flow to ensure feedstock are legally sourced.

ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment contains the work done to determine if illegal logging and timber theft are a risk in the supply area. This document uses many of the same sources as the FSC US CWNRA. Though valuable work and useful in demonstrating low risk, FSC does allow for wood to originate from conversion sources in some cases. The SBP requirement is more stringent and does not allow wood from any conversion sources.

Enviva will only purchase feedstocks from suppliers who it has an established business relationship and a signed agreement. The Master Wood Purchase Agreement has among its many recitals requirements for feedstock supplier to ensure legality of ownership. This is evaluated as part of periodic supplier audits.

**Primary Feedstock**

Enviva uses its proprietary Track & Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva's Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract's ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill. Enviva conducts random field audits to ensure the information provided is accurate and conversion of the site did not occur.

**Secondary Feedstock**

Enviva's Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers' sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.

Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows it to assess secondary feedstock mills as described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators.

Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.

Further, as part of our Responsible Sourcing Policy, we solicit and receive detailed feedback on our current policies and suggestions for how to improve it from the following non-profit and agencies:

- The Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies and The National Wildlife Federation
- The Nature Conservancy
- Audubon
- World Wildlife Fund
- National Council for Air and Stream Improvement
- The Conservation Fund
- The Forest Trust
- And others

	<p>Enviva does not source from production plantations as defined in the SBP Glossary as "forests of exotic species that have been planted or seeded by human intervention and that are under intensive stand management, are fast growing and subject to short rotations (e.g. Poplar, Acacia or Eucalyptus plantations)."</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> The FSC US CWNRA has identified 8 counties with the supply base area as being at risk for conversion. The FSC US CWNRA only determined a conversion risk based on urbanization finding forest loss in other counties to be a low risk. SBP definition of conversion is much more stringent and includes conversion to anything other than forest not just urbanization. There is a specified risk associated with forest conversion in the supply area.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>ENV-SFIS-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li>d. <b>ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure</b></li> <li>e. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>f. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>g. <b>Supplier Audits</b></li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating Before Mitigation	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b></p>
Comments and Mitigation Measures	<p><u>Management System</u> Enviva will annually review it's Means of Verification and engage with Stakeholders to ensure it can accurately identify and map forests and other areas of high conservation values in its supply base area.</p> <p>Enviva uses its proprietary Track &amp; Trace program, HCV Tract Approval Process and District of Origin Process to identify and address potential threats to forests and other areas with high conservation values from forest management activities.</p>
Risk Rating After Mitigation	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b></p>

	Indicator
<b>2.2.1</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to verify that feedstock is sourced from forests where there is appropriate assessment of impacts, and planning, implementation and monitoring to minimise them.
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> <li>-</li> </ul>

The annual Master Wood Purchase Agreement contains a clause requiring suppliers to use and require the use of forestry best management practices in their sourcing efforts.

The FSC US CWNRA across 4 landownership scenarios and determined there are appropriate assessments, planning, implementation and monitoring to determine a low risk rating for this indicator

1.1 Land tenure and management rights – “In its report to the Montreal Process Working Group on the Conservation and Management of Temperate and Boreal Forests, in scoring an indicator relating to land tenure, the US government concluded that, “All forest land owners, public and private, exercise their forest tenure rights to achieve their forest land management goals .... [A]lthough complex, clear title is usually sufficient [to allow forest management] in the United States.”

1.3 Management and harvesting planning – Planning requirements for private lands are limited. The author has not been able to find indications of regular violations of these requirements.

1.8 Timber harvesting and regulations – The US has ample regulation of the timber industry that varies by state but finds there is a low risk these rules and laws are not followed

ENV-COC-03 Controlled Source Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts in all of Enviva's supply areas. Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. Many of these are the same sources of information used by FSC in its FSC US CWNRA. Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement

SFI Fiber Sourcing Standard Objective 7 requires a certificate holder to be “knowledgeable about credible regional conservation planning and priority-setting efforts that include a broad range of stakeholders and have a program to take into account the results of these efforts in planning.” Enviva does this through engaging state forestry associations.

Enviva will only purchase feedstocks from suppliers who it has an established business relationship and a signed agreement. The Master Wood Purchase Agreement has among its many recitals requirements for feedstock supplier to ensure legality of ownership. This is evaluated as part of periodic supplier audits.

Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.

All of the states in Enviva's supply base area offer reforestation incentive programs and the success of these programs as well as BMP compliance information for most states can be found on the National Association of State Foresters website in the form of fact sheets and reports. All of the states have forestry BMP's guidelines, and Enviva contractually requires its suppliers to require the use of forestry BMP's.

The FSC US CWNRA cited the Seneca Creek Associates, LLC report entitled, *Assessment of Lawful Harvesting & Sustainability of US Hardwood Exports*, "States in the hardwood-producing region have very complex and diverse legal authorities over various aspects of forests and each state has crafted its own approach to fostering sustainable forest management."

"Many states have implemented voluntary or incentive-based programs to achieve sustainable forestry objectives. Only sporadic information can be found in the formal literature or in media reporting about violations or potential violations of state regulations in the hardwood-producing states. Information that is readily available suggests that state regulatory agencies are not timid about issuing citations or pursuing violators."

"While states in the hardwood-producing region take different approaches to regulating harvesting and forest practices, the data suggest that all states direct significant resources to forest sustainability issues. The extent of regulation in a given state is not necessarily an indication of how well forests are managed, but it does relate to legal compliance with state laws and thus the legality of hardwood production. The available data suggest that states in the hardwood region are diligent about enforcing regulations that affect forest practices."

The Threatened and Endangered Species Act is vigorously enforced in the United States and effective: this conclusion is supported by Martin et al. (2005), in the peer-reviewed publication entitled "The Effectiveness of the Endangered Species Act: A Quantitative Analysis" (BioScience (2005), Vol. 55 Is. 4(1): 360-367.)

Forestry Best Management Practices may not be an all-inclusive monitoring tool but they do provide some insight into timber harvesting and forest management techniques. The National Association of State Foresters website provides evidence of this and other forest assessment tools

Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.

**Primary Feedstock**

Enviva uses its proprietary Track & Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva's Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract's ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill. Enviva's Track & Trace Program includes a harvest site auditing component to ensure suppliers conform to the requirement. The Forestry Commissions for each state in the supply area monitor and enforce BMP implementation.

Enviva's HCV Tract Approval Process ensures forest management activities do not harm sensitive eco-systems, habitats or threaten biodiversity in its sourcing practices. Each tract is assessed using a set of criteria that include the tract location within known bottomland forest settings. Every tract is evaluated for forest health concerns, wildlife considerations, location within the landscape, conservation value and other criteria. Enviva will only purchase wood from a tract if the assessment determines harvesting is the best outcome for the forest.

**Secondary Feedstock**

Enviva's Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers' sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.

	<p><u>Conclusion</u>                  Enviva's feedstock is sourced from areas with forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring. Based on the available information, the risk for this category has been assessed as low.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>ENV-SFIS-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li>d. <b>Track &amp; Trace Program</b></li> <li>e. <b>HCV Tract Approval Process</b></li> <li>f. <b>District of Origin Process</b></li> <li>g. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>h. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>i. State BMP Manuals</li> <li>j. Assessment of Lawful Harvesting &amp; Sustainability of US Hardwood Exports,</li> <li>k. NASF State Forest Fact Sheets</li> <li>l. NASF Water Quality Report</li> <li>m. BioScience website.</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
<b>2.2.2</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that feedstock is sourced from forests where management maintains or improves soil quality (CPET S5b).
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- <i>Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</i></li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The annual Master Wood Purchase Agreement contains a clause requiring suppliers to use and require the use of forestry best management practices in their sourcing efforts.</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts including forestry best management practices all of Enviva's supply areas. A review of BMP implementation rates is included.</p> <p>Enviva is a member of regional state forestry associations responsible for reviewing and developing logger training in conjunction with state forestry associations related to forestry</p>

best manage practices. Enviva interacts with these groups to improve forestry best management practices guidelines and monitor enforcement.

Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. The analysis determines the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a long standing business practice in the supply base area.

Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement

Each State Forestry Agency/Commission is responsible for implementing forestry BMP's as directed by the Clean Water Act and conducting periodic BMP implementation monitoring. State-wide BMP compliance reports are readily available.

The NASF website contains many useful reports including, *Effectiveness of forestry BMP's in the United States: Literature Review*, which was published in Forest Ecology and Management (2016: 133 - 151). The review determined forestry BMP's are effective when implemented as recommended by state forestry agencies. Proper implementation of forestry BMP's protect soil quality.

There are few studies looking at the effect of timber harvesting on forest soils in the United States. The United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service General Technical Report INT-69 titled, *Forest Soil Biology - Timber Harvesting Relationships: A Perspective*, concluded generally timber harvesting does not have a long-term impact on forest soil productivity and if changes do exist these are generally small and only last a few years.

SFI Fiber Sourcing Standard Objective 2 requires a certificate holder, "To broaden the practice of sustainable forestry through the use of best management practices to protect water quality". ENV-SFI-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual describes processes and internal documents Enviva uses to meet the Objective. Enviva requires the use of forestry best management practices of it suppliers and further requires them to require forestry best management practices be employed by their suppliers. State forestry commission periodically assess forestry best management practices implementation and effectiveness as part of the US Clean Water Act requirements regarding non-point source pollution.

**Primary Feedstock**

Enviva uses its proprietary Track & Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva's Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract's ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill. Enviva's Track & Trace Program includes a harvest site auditing component to ensure suppliers conform to the requirement.

Enviva's HCV Tract Approval Process ensures forest management activities do not harm sensitive eco-systems, habitats or threaten biodiversity in its sourcing practices. Each tract is assessed using a set of criteria that include the tract location within known

	<p>bottomland forest settings. Every tract is evaluated for forest health concerns, wildlife considerations, location within the landscape, conservation value and other criteria. Enviva will only purchase wood from a tract if the assessment determines harvesting is the best outcome for the forest.</p> <p><b>Secondary Feedstock</b>                  Enviva's Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers' sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance and the use of forestry best management practices</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u>                  There is a low risk Enviva's sourcing practices will degrade forest soils.</p>
<p>Means of Verification</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. <b>ENV-SFIS-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li>c. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>d. <b>Track &amp; Trace Program</b></li> <li>e. <b>HCV Tract Approval Process</b></li> <li>f. <b>District of Origin Process</b></li> <li>g. State BMP Manuals</li> <li>h. NASF Water Quality Report</li> <li>i. BMP implementation rate information for states in supply base area</li> <li>j. Effectiveness of forestry BMP's in the United States: Literature Review.</li> <li>k. Forest Soil Biology - Timber Harvesting Relationships: A Perspective</li> <li>l. NCASI Compendium of Forestry Best Management Practices for Controlling Non-point Source Pollution in North America</li> </ul>
<p>Evidence Reviewed</p>	<p>All means of verification reviewed</p>
<p>Risk Rating</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b></p>

	Indicator
<p><b>2.2.3</b></p>	<p>The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that key ecosystems and habitats are conserved or set aside in their natural state (CPET S8b).</p>
<p>Finding</p>	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement requires suppliers to avoid key ecosystems and habitats such as old growth forests and forest that could be threatened by forest management activities.</p> <p>The FSC US CWNRA finding related to this indicator</p> <p>1.9 Protected sites and species – “The US has a broad and comprehensive legal structure surrounding species protection and the protection of socially and ecologically important sites, administered at both the federal and state level.”</p> <p>Using the FSC US CWNRA Enviva has identified the following key ecosystems and habitats that are at risk.</p> <p>Native Longleaf Pine Systems – these forest systems are at risk in 23 counties across the southern portion of the Enviva supply area. Native longleaf systems threats vary across its natural range with suppression of fire being the greatest concern. Other concerns include conversion to other pine types and incompatible forest management practices.</p> <p>Late Successional Bottomland Hardwoods – found throughout the south in the floodplains of rivers and streams the forests are periodically flooded or saturated. Variations in structure are determined by the location of the late successional bottomland forest. Generally, 80 years or older the forest is better defined by structure; closed canopy, large wood debris, standing hollow trees and little ground vegetation. Bottomland forests in Mississippi are reduced in size and area from historic clearing to create agricultural fields. Changes in hydrology, improper forest management techniques and invasive species. Forest management in and of itself may not be a threat but how the management is applied can be counterproductive.</p> <p>Cape Fear Arch Critical Biodiversity Area – the geologic and hydrologic history of the Cape Fear Arch region have resulted in a diversity of wet and dry habitats. This diversity in addition to the sand and limestone deposits that have resulted in a very high diversity of natural communities and associated plant and animal species, particularly in pocosin and longleaf pine habitats. When the canopy has been completely removed through timber harvest, pocosins often do not regenerate.</p> <p>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure it Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts including an analysis of ecosystem and habitats all of Enviva's supply areas. The program requires and assessment of each risk rea to determine if forest management activities are impacting eco-regions of significant high conservation values. The document finds a low risk. Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. The analysis determine the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a long standing business practice in the supply base area. These same sources were used by the author of the FSC US CWNRA</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement. ENV-SFI-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual describes processes and internal documents Enviva uses to meet the SFI requirements related to Objective 1</p>
--	--

	<p>“Biodiversity in Fiber Sourcing. To address the practice of sustainable forestry by conserving biological diversity”. Further, as part of our Responsible Sourcing Policy, we solicit and receive detailed feedback on our current policies and suggestions for how to improve it from the following non-profit and agencies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies and The National Wildlife Federation</li> <li>• The Nature Conservancy</li> <li>• Audubon</li> <li>• World Wildlife Fund</li> <li>• National Council for Air and Stream Improvement</li> <li>• The Conservation Fund</li> <li>• The Forest Trust</li> </ul> <p>The analysis determined the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a business as usual practice in the supply base area. Most at risk key ecosystems and habitats are protected by federal and state agencies.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva’s legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p>The Enviva Forest Conservation Fund, a \$5 million, 10-year program sponsored by Enviva and administered by the U.S. Endowment for Forestry and Communities, is designed to protect tens of thousands of acres of sensitive bottomland forests in the Virginia-North Carolina coastal plain. The Enviva Forest Conservation Fund will award matching-fund grants to non-profit organizations to permanently protect ecologically sensitive areas and preserve working forests. (<a href="http://envivaforestfund.org/">http://envivaforestfund.org/</a>)</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> According to SBP Guidance Document: Assessment of Risk, Means of Verification and Mitigation Measures in the Southeast US, “SBP has yet to receive a Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) for the US to evaluate for approval and considers all of the currently available assessment resources in and of themselves to be only partially adequate in assessing high conservation value and conversion indicators.” Use of the FSC CWNRA is suggested but is considered incomplete.</p>
<p>Means of Verification</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. Enviva Forest Conservation Fund</li> <li>c. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>d. <b>ENV-SFIS-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li>e. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Source Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>f. <b>Stakeholder engagement</b></li> <li>g. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>h. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> </ul>
<p>Evidence Reviewed</p>	<p>All means of verification reviewed</p>
<p>Risk Rating</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b>                      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b></p>
<p>Comments and Mitigation Measures</p>	<p>Management System Enviva will annually review it’s Means of Verification and engage with Stakeholders to ensure it can accurately identify and map forests and other areas of high conservation values in its supply base area.</p>

	<p>Enviva engages with willing stakeholders to continually assess for potential areas of high conservation value (<a href="https://www.greenbiz.com/article/stakeholder-engagement-how-enviva-moved-crisis-collaboration">https://www.greenbiz.com/article/stakeholder-engagement-how-enviva-moved-crisis-collaboration</a>). We find these engagements and subsequent collaborations to be both enlightening and beneficial in the promotion of sustainable forest management</p> <p>Enviva's uses it's Forest Conservation Fund, proprietary Track &amp; Trace Program, and HCV Tract Approval Process to ensure key ecosystems and habitats are or conserved or set aside.</p>
Risk Rating After Mitigation	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b></p>

	Indicator
2.2.4	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that biodiversity is protected (CPET S5b).
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement requires suppliers to avoid key ecosystems and habitats such as old growth forests and forest that could be threatened by forest management activities.</p> <p>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure it Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts including an analysis of ecosystem and habitats all of Enviva's supply areas The program requires and assessment of each risk rea to determine if forest management activities are impacting eco-regions of significant high conservation values. The document finds a low risk.</p> <p>Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. The analysis determines the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a long standing business practice in the supply base area.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement</p>

The FSC US CWNRA did not identify any at risk species in the Enviva supply area.

ENV-SFI-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual describes processes and internal documents Enviva uses to meet the SFI requirements related to Objective 1 “Biodiversity in Fiber Sourcing. To address the practice of sustainable forestry by conserving biological diversity”. Further, as part of our Responsible Sourcing Policy, we solicit and receive detailed feedback on our current policies and suggestions for how to improve it from the following non-profit and agencies:

- The Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies and The National Wildlife Federation
- The Nature Conservancy
- Audubon
- World Wildlife Fund
- National Council for Air and Stream Improvement
- The Conservation Fund
- The Forest Trust

Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva’s legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.

**Primary Feedstock**

Enviva uses its proprietary Track & Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva’s Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract’s ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill.

Enviva's HCV Tract Approval Process ensures forest management activities do not harm sensitive eco-systems, habitats or threaten biodiversity in its sourcing practices. Each tract is assessed using a set of criteria that include the tract location within known bottomland forest settings. Every tract is evaluated for forest health concerns, wildlife considerations, location within the landscape, conservation value and other criteria. Enviva will only purchase wood from a tract if the assessment determines harvesting is the best outcome for the forest.

Forestry BMP's are the best tool available to ensure forest management activities do not adversely impact forests and other areas of high conservation value. Enviva contractually requires the use of BMP's. Enviva's Track & Trace Program includes a harvest site auditing component to ensure suppliers conform to the requirement. The Forestry Commissions for each of the states in the supply area monitor and enforce BMP implementation.

**Secondary Feedstock**

Enviva's Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP

	<p>supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers' sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.</p> <p>Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows it to assess secondary feedstock mills as described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document dated August 2018. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> According to SBP Guidance Document: Assessment of Risk, Means of Verification and Mitigation Measures in the Southeast US, "SBP has yet to receive a Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) for the US to evaluate for approval and considers all of the currently available assessment resources in and of themselves to be only partially adequate in assessing high conservation value and conversion indicators." Use of the FSC CWNRA is suggested but is considered incomplete.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>l. Preamble citations</li> <li>m. FSC CWNRA</li> <li>n. <b>ENV-SFIS-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li>o. <b>ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure</b></li> <li>p. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>q. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>r.</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>
Comments and Mitigation Measures	<p><u>Management System</u> Enviva will annually review it's Means of Verification and engage with Stakeholders to ensure it can accurately identify and map forests and other areas of high conservation values in its supply base area.</p> <p>Enviva engages with willing stakeholders to continually assess for potential areas of high conservation value (<a href="https://www.greenbiz.com/article/stakeholder-engagement-how-enviva-moved-crisis-collaboration">https://www.greenbiz.com/article/stakeholder-engagement-how-enviva-moved-crisis-collaboration</a>). We find these engagements and subsequent collaborations to be both enlightening and beneficial in the promotion of sustainable forest management</p> <p>Enviva's uses it's Forest Conservation Fund, proprietary Track &amp; Trace Program, and HCV Tract Approval Process to ensure key ecosystems and habitats are or conserved or set aside.</p>
Risk Rating After Mitigation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
2.2.5	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that the process of residue removal minimises harm to ecosystems.

<p>Finding</p>	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood: (items related to this indicator are underlined)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement also requires suppliers to use and require the use of forestry best management practices in their sourcing efforts. The use of forestry best management practices is the best way to minimize harm to the ecosystem.</p> <p><b>Primary Feedstock</b>          Enviva uses its proprietary Track &amp; Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva’s Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract’s ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill. Enviva's Track &amp; Trace Program includes a harvest site auditing component to ensure suppliers conform to the requirement. The Forestry Commissions for each of the states in the supply area monitor and enforce BMP implementation.</p> <p><b>Secondary Feedstock</b>          Enviva's Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers’ sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.</p> <p>Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows it to assess secondary feedstock mills as described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document dated August 2018. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators.</p> <p>Enviva's processes are in alignment with SBP Guidance Document: Meeting SBP Criteria in relation to protecting exceptional conservation values in the southern US.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's Controlled Wood/Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>Enviva's Controlled Wood/Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure it Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts, forestry best management practices in all of Enviva's supply area.</p>
----------------	---

	<p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's Controlled Wood/Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>The NASF website contains many useful reports including, Effectiveness of forestry BMP's in the United States: Literature Review. Published in Forest Ecology and Management (2016, pgs 133 - 151). The review determined forestry BMP's are effective when implemented as recommended by state forestry agencies. Proper implementation of forestry BMP's protect soil quality.</p> <p>There are few studies looking at the effect of timber harvesting on forest soils in the United States. The United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service General Technical Report INT-69 titled, Forest Soil Biology - Timber Harvesting Relationships: A Perspective, concluded generally timber harvesting does not have a long-term impact on forest soil productivity and if changes do exist these are generally small and only last a few years.</p> <p>Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. The analysis determines the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a business as usual practice in the supply base area.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> There is a low risk Enviva's sourcing practices will negatively impact the forest due to residue removals.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li><b>b. Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li><b>c. Track &amp; Trace Program</b></li> <li><b>d. District of Origin Process</b></li> <li>e. National Association of State Foresters</li> <li>m. Effectiveness of forestry BMP's in the United States: Literature Review.</li> <li>f. Forest Soil Biology - Timber Harvesting Relationships: A Perspective</li> <li><b>g.</b></li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
2.2.6	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to verify that negative impacts on ground water, surface water and water downstream from forest management are minimised (CPET S5b).
Finding	Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> </ul>

- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;
- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;
- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;
- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.

The Master Wood Purchase Agreement also requires suppliers to use and require the use of forestry best management practices in their sourcing efforts. Forestry best management practices are the best tool to protect water quality.

Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts including forestry best management practices all of Enviva's supply areas. A review of BMP implementation rates is included.

Enviva is a member of regional state forestry associations responsible for reviewing and developing logger training in conjunction with state forestry associations related to forestry best management practices. Enviva interacts with these groups to improve forestry best management practices guidelines and monitor enforcement.

Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. The analysis determines the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a long standing business practice in the supply base area.

Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.

The FSC US CWNRA indicator 3.4 HCV 4 determined there is a low risk of forest management activities. Further the author states, "Evidence of the effectiveness of forestry BMPs, combined with the reported levels of compliance, indicates that there is a high likelihood that HCV 4 are being effectively protected throughout the assessment area through the implementation of forestry BMPs associated with State nonpoint source pollution programs." The effectiveness of forestry best management practices is well documented in the FSC US CWNRA.

SFI Fiber Sourcing Standard Objective 3 requires a certificate holder to promote the use of trained logger. ENV-SFI-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual describes processes and internal documents Enviva uses to meet the Objective

The US Clean Water Act requires each state to develop non-point source BMP's to address run off. This includes forestry activities. Enviva's contracts require suppliers to ensure their supply chain follows all applicable laws including those that protect special habitats by following BMP's and other laws.

Enviva's Controlled Wood Risk Assessment/ Due Diligence System and SFI Wood Sourcing Program requires monitoring and assessment of the impacts forestry has on water quality. Enviva works with state Sustainable Forestry Initiative Committees (SIC) to promote BMP compliance and education.

Primary Feedstock

	<p>Enviva uses its proprietary Track &amp; Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva’s Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract’s ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill.</p> <p>Enviva's HCV Tract Approval Process ensures forest management activities do not harm sensitive eco-systems, habitats or threaten biodiversity in its sourcing practices. Each tract is assessed using a set of criteria that include the tract location within known bottomland forest settings. Every tract is evaluated for forest health concerns, wildlife considerations, location within the landscape, conservation value and other criteria. Enviva will only purchase wood from a tract if the assessment determines harvesting is the best outcome for the forest.</p> <p>Forestry BMP's are the best tool available to ensure forest management activities do not adversely impact forests and other areas of high conservation value. Enviva contractually requires the use of BMP's. Enviva's Track &amp; Trace Program includes a harvest site auditing component to ensure suppliers conform to the requirement. The Forestry Commissions for each of the states in the supply area monitor and enforce BMP implementation.</p> <p><u>Secondary Feedstock</u>                  Enviva’s Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers’ sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.</p> <p>Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows it to assess secondary feedstock mills as described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document dated August 2018. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators. Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva’s legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance. Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva’s legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u>                  There is a low risk the Enviva’s sourcing practices will have a negative impact on water quality.</p>
<p>Means of Verification</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>ENV-SFIS-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li>d. State BMP Manuals and BMP monitoring data</li> <li>e. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>f. <b>Track &amp; Trace Program</b></li> <li>g. <b>HCV Tract Approval Process</b></li> </ol>

	<p><b>h. District of Origin Process</b></p> <p>i. NASF Water Quality Report</p>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
<b>2.2.7</b>	<p>The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that air quality is not adversely affected by forest management activities.</p>
<b>Finding</b>	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement also requires suppliers to use and require the use of forestry best management practices in their sourcing efforts which includes air quality regulation related to forestry activities.</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure it Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts, forestry best management practices in all of Enviva's supply areas.</p> <p>Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. The analysis determines the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a long standing business practice in the supply base area.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>Enviva uses its proprietary Track &amp; Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva.</p>

Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva’s Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract’s ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill. Enviva's Track & Trace Program includes a harvest site auditing component to ensure suppliers conform to the requirement.

Enviva's HCV Tract Approval Process ensures forest management activities do not harm sensitive eco-systems, habitats or threaten biodiversity in its sourcing practices. Each tract is assessed using a set of criteria that include the tract location within known bottomland forest settings. Every tract is evaluated for forest health concerns, wildlife considerations, location within the landscape, conservation value and other criteria. Enviva will only purchase wood from a tract if the assessment determines harvesting is the best outcome for the forest.

**Secondary Feedstock**

Enviva's Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers’ sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.

Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows it to assess secondary feedstock mills as described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document dated August 2018. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators.

Enviva is a member of regional state forestry associations responsible for reviewing and developing logger training in conjunction with state forestry associations related to forestry best manage practices. Enviva interacts with these groups to improve forestry best management practices guidelines and monitor enforcement.

In the United States regulation of forestry practices has its roots in federal law and acts designed to provide minimum guidance to states in developing state specific laws and regulations and ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law. Chemical use in forest management activities also follow EPA guidance under FIFRA and include in-woods practices. A review of the EPA Civil Cases and Settlements by Statute has no findings related to forest management activities in 2017. The United States has a robust legal system that deters the abuse of state and Federal regulation.

The US Clean Air Act requires each state to implement air quality controls to ensure the public's safety. The USDA Forest Service website, *Forest Service Air Management Responsibilities* describes how the Clean Air Act affects forestry operations in general. States in the Enviva supply base area have haze/smoke laws.

In the United States regulation of forestry practices has its roots in federal law and acts designed to provide minimum guidance to states in developing state specific laws and regulations and ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law. Air quality regulations are controlled by the EPA and these regulations also influence in-wood practices including air quality impact from forest management activities. A review of the EPA Civil Cases and Settlements by Statute has no findings related to forest management

	<p>activities in 2017. The United States has a robust legal system that deters the abuse of state and federal regulation.</p> <p>Examples of enforcement of forestry fire laws can be found on the United States Fire Administration website (<a href="https://www.usfa.fema.gov/prevention/outreach/wildfire_arson/court_cases.html">https://www.usfa.fema.gov/prevention/outreach/wildfire_arson/court_cases.html</a>).</p> <p>And the US Environmental Protection Agency website (<a href="https://cfpub.epa.gov/compliance/criminal_prosecution/">https://cfpub.epa.gov/compliance/criminal_prosecution/</a>).</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva’s legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> There is a low risk the Enviva’s sourcing practices will have a negative impact on air quality.</p>
Means of Verification	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>c. <b>Track &amp; Trace Program</b></li> <li>d. <b>HCV Tract Approval Process</b></li> <li>e. <b>District of Origin Process</b></li> <li>f. Clean Air Act</li> <li>g. USDA Forest Service</li> <li>h. US EPA</li> <li>i. US Fire Administration</li> <li>j. World Bank Governance Index</li> </ol>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
2.2.8	<p>The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that there is controlled and appropriate use of chemicals, and that Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is implemented wherever possible in forest management activities (CPET S5c).</p>
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement also requires suppliers to comply with laws and regulations which includes the use of chemical in forestry practices.</p>

	<p>The FSC US CWNRA Category 1 Indicator 1.10 Environmental requirements finds there are adequate and appropriately enforced laws and regulations governing the use of chemicals related to forest management activities.</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure it Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts, forestry best management practices in all of Enviva's supply areas. The use of chemical in forest management practices are regulated.</p> <p>Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. The analysis determines the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a long standing business practice in the supply base area.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>Enviva is a member of regional state forestry associations. Enviva interacts with these groups to engage landowners in best forestry management practices.</p> <p>In the United States regulation of forestry practices has its roots in federal law and acts designed to provide minimum guidance to states in developing state specific laws and regulations and ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law. Chemical use in forest management activities also follow EPA guidance under FIFRA and include in-woods practices. A review of the EPA Civil Cases and Settlements by Statute has no findings related to forest management activities in 2017. The United States has a robust legal system that deters the abuse of state and Federal regulation.</p> <p>Examples of enforcement of Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) can be found on the United States Environmental Protection Agency website (<a href="https://cfpub.epa.gov/compliance/criminal_prosecution/">https://cfpub.epa.gov/compliance/criminal_prosecution/</a>).</p> <p>Information about Integrated Pest Management can be found on the USDA Forest Service website (<a href="https://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/protecting-forest/integrated-pest-management/">https://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/protecting-forest/integrated-pest-management/</a>).</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> There is a low risk the Enviva's sourcing practices will cause an increase in the use of pesticides or herbicides.</p>
<p>Means of Verification</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>d. Clean Air Act</li> <li>e. USDA Forest Service</li> <li>f. US EPA</li> <li>g. US Fire Administration</li> <li>h. World Bank</li> </ol>

Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
<b>2.2.9</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that methods of waste disposal minimise negative impacts on forest ecosystems (CPET S5d).
<b>Finding</b>	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement also requires suppliers to use and require the use of forestry best management practices in their sourcing efforts. Forestry best management practices are the best tool to protect forest from waste disposal.</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually. The use of forestry best management practices is part of the review</p> <p>Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. The analysis determines the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a long standing business practice in the supply base area.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>Enviva uses its proprietary Track &amp; Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva's Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract's ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill.</p>

	<p>Enviva's Track &amp; Trace Program includes a harvest site auditing component to ensure suppliers conform to the requirement.</p> <p>Enviva's HCV Tract Approval Process ensures forest management activities do not harm sensitive eco-systems, habitats or threaten biodiversity in its sourcing practices. Each tract is assessed using a set of criteria that include the tract location within known bottomland forest settings. Every tract is evaluated for forest health concerns, wildlife considerations, location within the landscape, conservation value and other criteria. Enviva will only purchase wood from a tract if the assessment determines harvesting is the best outcome for the forest.</p> <p><b>Secondary Feedstock</b>                  Enviva's Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers' sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.</p> <p>Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows it to assess secondary feedstock mills as described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document dated August 2018. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators.</p> <p>Enviva is a member of regional state forestry associations. Enviva interacts with these groups to engage landowners in best forestry management practices.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u>                  There is a low risk the Enviva's sourcing practices will harm forest due to waste disposal.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. <b>ENV-SFIS-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li>c. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>d. State BMP Manuals and monitoring data</li> <li>e. NASF Water Quality Report</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	X <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
2.3.1	Analysis shows that feedstock harvesting does not exceed the long-term production capacity of the forest, avoids significant negative impacts on forest productivity and ensures long-term economic viability. Harvest levels are justified by inventory and growth data.



Finding

Enviva's Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure it Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts, forestry best management practices in all of Enviva's supply areas. The annual review requires an analysis of growth to drain in the supply area.

A 2015 Forest2Market report titled *Wood Supply Market Trends in the US South* concluded that in 2014, the total wood consumption for all markets in the south was only 3.3% of total forest inventory. Removals for pellet production represents 0.3% of all the US South standing inventory.

The annual growth to drain ratio for hardwoods is 2.08:1 and 1.56:1 for pine. The combined growth: drain 1.79:1. A positive growth to drain ratio indicates that forest growth exceeds harvest removals. In the Gulf region of the U.S. South, total inventory has increased by an average of 1.6% annually between 2000 and 2017. Since 2000, US Forest Service Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) data indicates an increase in forest area in the states covered included in the Enviva supply base area.

Enviva is just one of several industries and entities sourcing wood in its supply base area. Removals of both pine and hardwood for pellet production in the Southern region comprised only 7% of total harvest volume in 2018. Primary harvesting activity and wood consumption in the South is driven by saw-timber markets, with total removals for all wood industry sectors t only 2.0% (8.4 million tons) of the total estimated standing inventory of 418.4 million tons In 2017, pine pulpwood removals for the entire pellet industry accounted for 3.8% of total pine pulpwood removals for all wood product classifications.



The procurement of wood material contributes to reducing environmental impacts and enhancing the productivity of forests. A 2017 Forest2Market report, *Historic Perspectives on the Relationship between Demand and Forest Productivity in the US South*, concluded further that a positive relationship exists between forest harvest and forest growth, proving

	<p>that forest landowners respond to robust forest products markets by planting more trees. Markets for low valued wood products allow for more efficient site preparation and reforestation.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> There is a low risk Enviva's sourcing practices will harm growth to drain levels in the supply area.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. USFS FIA web site</li> <li>c. <b>Growth Drain study</b></li> <li>d. Forest2Market Reports                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <a href="https://www.forest2market.com/hubfs/2016_Website/Documents/20151119_Forest2Market_USSouthWoodSupplyTrends.pdf">https://www.forest2market.com/hubfs/2016_Website/Documents/20151119_Forest2Market_USSouthWoodSupplyTrends.pdf</a></li> <li>b. <a href="https://www.forest2market.com/hubfs/2016_Website/Documents/20170726_Forest2Market_Historical_Perspective_US_South.pdf?t=1516993507491">https://www.forest2market.com/hubfs/2016_Website/Documents/20170726_Forest2Market_Historical_Perspective_US_South.pdf?t=1516993507491</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
<b>2.3.2</b>	Adequate training is provided for all personnel, including employees and contractors (CPET S6d).
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement also requires suppliers adhere to employment safety programs such as the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).</p> <p>Enviva's internal Human Resources practices, Operational Excellence Management System and Safety Program ensure employees receive the proper training to perform their tasks safely.</p> <p>Enviva conducts in-depth internal training for all employees. Enviva's staff have achieved educational levels appropriate with their specific job duties. (Training Records)</p> <p>Enviva's Master Wood Purchase Agreement require suppliers to ensure their supply chain follows all applicable laws including those that protect special habitats by following BMP's and other laws. Logger training can be verified via each state's logger training program website General information about logger training programs can be found, <a href="https://www.sfiopa.org/_download_link.php?did=32">https://www.sfiopa.org/_download_link.php?did=32</a>.</p>

	<p>SFI Logger Training Programs provide training in 13 management principles. Each state develops its own training modules to fulfil the training needs of the states logging force.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sustainable Forestry</li> <li>2. Forest Productivity and Health</li> <li>3. Protection of Water Resources</li> <li>4. Protection of Biological Diversity</li> <li>5. Aesthetics and Recreation</li> <li>6. Protection of Special Sites</li> <li>7. Responsible Fiber Sourcing in North America</li> <li>8. Legal Compliance</li> <li>9. Research</li> <li>10. Training and Education</li> <li>11. Community Involvement and Social Responsibility</li> <li>12. Transparency</li> <li>13. Continual Improvement</li> </ol> <p>Specifics for each state program can be found                  North Carolina ProLogger – <a href="http://www.ncforestry.org/">http://www.ncforestry.org/</a>                  South Carolina TOP Logger – <a href="https://www.scforestry.org/top">https://www.scforestry.org/top</a>                  Virginia SHARP Logger – <a href="http://sharplogger.vt.edu/data.html">http://sharplogger.vt.edu/data.html</a></p> <p>Enviva's staff with Sustainable Biomass Program responsibility all have college/university degrees in Forestry or a related field. Other staff training may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• State level logger training to enhance understanding of state harvesting regulations and forestry BMP's;</li> <li>• Training in the structure and requirements of Enviva's SFI Wood Sourcing, and FSC/PEFC/SFI Chain of Custody systems;</li> <li>• Internal high conservation value area identification;</li> <li>• Track &amp; Trace;</li> <li>• Climate change;</li> <li>• Community relations; and</li> <li>• Safety.</li> </ul> <p>All on site contractors are vetted prior to signing work contracts including a review of their training and safety policies, OSHA 300 log, and other relevant records.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u>                  Enviva's sourcing practices ensures adequate training is provided by Enviva, its contractors and suppliers.</p>
Means of Verification	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. <b>ENV-SFIS-01 SFI Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li>c. <b>ENV-COC-01 Enviva Chain of Custody Procedures &amp; Implementation</b></li> <li>d. <b>ENV-COC-02 Controlled Sourcing Procedure</b></li> <li>e. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>f. <b>Staff training documentation</b></li> <li>g. State logger training websites</li> </ol>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
2.3.3	Analysis shows that feedstock harvesting and biomass production positively contribute to the local economy, including employment.
Finding	<p>The National Association of State Foresters website contains State-wide Assessments describing the contributions the timber industry has in each state contained in the Enviva supply base area. The forests of the Southeast provide a number of economic and societal benefits such as manufacturing, employment, recreation, aesthetics, and environmental protection. To ensure that the forests can meet the current and future economic, ecological, cultural, and recreational demands placed on them, State Foresters, Forest Managers and others must focus their efforts to address changing landowner objectives, parcelization and fragmentation, current and emerging markets, forest regulation, critical habitats, and cultural/recreational concerns.</p> <p>According to a recent internal study, Enviva will directly employ 79 people and its operation will generate up to 138 indirect jobs in the region are created by Enviva's operations. The same study found that Enviva has a total direct and indirect economic contribution to the region of over \$151.9 million dollars.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Evidence demonstrates the economic benefits of Enviva's presence in the supply area.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. National State Forester web site</li> <li>c. <b>Chmura Economics &amp; Analytics</b></li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
2.4.1	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that the health, vitality and other services provided by forest ecosystems are maintained or improved (CPET S7a).
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement also requires suppliers to use and require the use of forestry best management practices in their sourcing efforts. Forestry best management practices are the best tool to protect forest health</p>

ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure it Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts, forestry best management practices in all of Enviva's supply areas. The annual review requires a review of evidence to ensure harvesting practices do not harm forest health or vitality.

Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. The analysis determines the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a long-standing business practice in the supply base area.

Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement. The US Forest Service and State Forest Services undertake research into forest health, and their research results are readily available. The SFI Wood Sourcing Program requires Program Participants to individually or with others participate in research related to forest health issues. Markets for residual by-products benefit sawmills which in turn benefits forest landowners and helps support reforestation.

Enviva is also a member of the National Council on Air and Stream Improvement (NCASI). NCASI Technical Bulletin No. 982 and the 2014 update No. 1022 *Summary of Conservation Planning Efforts in Forested Regions of the United States: 2014 Update* describes conservation plans and initiatives states are undertaking to ensure forest health. The membership allows Enviva to stay informed of trends in forest health and interact with other in the wood products industry to develop useful research for the forest products sector.

Enviva uses its proprietary Track & Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva's Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract's ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill. Enviva's Track & Trace Program includes a harvest site auditing component to ensure suppliers conform to the requirement.

Enviva's HCV Tract Approval Process ensures forest management activities do not harm sensitive eco-systems, habitats or threaten biodiversity in its sourcing practices. Each tract is assessed using a set of criteria that include the tract location within known bottomland forest settings. Every tract is evaluated for forest health concerns, wildlife considerations, location within the landscape, conservation value and other criteria. Enviva will only purchase wood from a tract if the assessment determines harvesting is the best outcome for the forest.

**Secondary Feedstock**

Enviva's Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP

	<p>supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers' sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.</p> <p>Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows it to assess secondary feedstock mills as described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document dated August 2018. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Enviva's control systems described above are designed to ensure sourcing practices do not have a negative impact on forest health and vitality and other services provided by forest ecosystems. These systems produce auditable results in the form of records and on the ground practices.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>c. <b>Track &amp; Trace Program</b></li> <li>d. <b>HCV Tract Approval Process</b></li> <li>e. <b>Distict of Origin Process</b></li> <li>f. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>g. USFS websites</li> <li>h. State Forest Service web sites</li> <li>i. NCASI Technical Bulletin No 982 &amp; No. 1022 Summary of Conservation Planning Efforts in Forested Regions of the United States: 2014 Update</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
2.4.2	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that natural processes, such as fires, pests and diseases are managed appropriately (CPET S7b).
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul>

	<p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement also requires suppliers to use and require the use of forestry best management practices in their sourcing efforts. Forestry best management practices are the best tool to protect forest health</p> <p>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure it Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts, forestry best management practices.</p> <p>Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. The analysis found the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a long-standing business practice in the supply base area.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>Each state within the Enviva supply base has a forest action plan in place that is designed to guide the work of forestry professionals to help manage, protect, enhance, and conserve forest resources within the state. These plans address forest pest, disease, and wildfire to insure healthy forest and are available on the National State Forester Website. Examples of enforcement of forestry fire laws can be found on the United States Fire Administration website (<a href="https://www.usfa.fema.gov/prevention/outreach/wildfire_arson/court_cases.html">https://www.usfa.fema.gov/prevention/outreach/wildfire_arson/court_cases.html</a>).</p> <p>Forest pest management information and controls can be found on the USDA Forest Service website (<a href="https://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/protecting-forest/">https://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/protecting-forest/</a>) and includes information on plants, pathogens and insects.</p> <p>These sites permit verification of program successes. Each state in the Enviva supply base area participates in these programs.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Enviva's sourcing practices verify natural processes are appropriately managed.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. USDA Forest Service web site</li> <li>c. National State Foresters web site State Forest Action Plans</li> <li><b>d. ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li><b>e. Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	<b>Indicator</b>
--	------------------

<p><b>2.4.3</b></p>	<p>The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that there is adequate protection of the forest from unauthorised activities, such as illegal logging, mining and encroachment (CPETS7c).</p>
<p>Finding</p>	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement is signed by each supplier annually.</p> <p>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure it Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts, forestry best management practices in all of Enviva's supply areas. The review confirms adequate laws and regulations exist and are enforced in the supply area.</p> <p>Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. The analysis determines the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a long-standing business practice in the supply base area. These are many of the same sources cited in the FSC US CWNRA</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>FSC US CWNRA Controlled Wood Category 1 Illegally Harvested Wood is well documented and provides clear evidence that illegal logging in the US is a low risk. SBP Principle 1 Biomass feedstock is legally sourced covers this indicator as well.</p> <p>In the United States regulation of forestry practices has its roots in Federal law and in Acts designed to provide guidance to states for developing state specific laws and regulations. The US ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law. Evidence of the effectiveness of law enforcement is evident in news reporting and this reporting reveals no widespread or systematic criminal activity in the Enviva supply base area.</p> <p>Enviva uses its proprietary Track &amp; Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva's Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract's ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill. Enviva's Track &amp; Trace Program includes a harvest site auditing component to ensure suppliers conform to the requirement.</p>

	<p>Secondary Feedstock Enviva's Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers' sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.</p> <p>Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows it to assess secondary feedstock mills as described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document dated August 2018. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators. Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Laws and regulations are enforced in the United States and Enviva's supply area to ensure the potential for illegal logging, mining or other encroachment is a low risk.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody</b></li> <li>d. <b>Track &amp; Trace Program</b></li> <li>e. <b>District of Origin Process</b></li> <li>f. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>g. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>h. AHEC Legality Study</li> <li>i. World Bank Governance Index</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
2.5.1	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that legal, customary and traditional tenure and use rights of indigenous people and local communities related to the forest are identified, documented and respected (CPET S9).
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- <i>Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</i></li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul>

The Master Wood Purchase Agreement is signed by each supplier annually.

Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually. The review includes an analysis of customary and traditional land use rights in the supply area.

Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area.

Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.

FSC US CWNRA findings relevant to this indicator.

2.1. The forest sector is not associated with violent armed conflict, including that which threatens national or regional security and/or linked to military control. – The forest sector is not associated with violent armed conflict, including that which threatens national or regional security and/or linked to military control.

2.3. The rights of Indigenous and Traditional Peoples are upheld. – The rights of indigenous and traditional peoples are upheld, particularly in the forest sector.

In the United States regulation of forestry practices has its roots in federal law and acts designed to provide minimum guidance to states in developing state specific laws and regulations and ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law.

The US is an industrial nation that does not have people groups dependent on a particular site or resource for basic human need. Further, federal and State legislation governs Native Americans and their rights are strictly enforced. Because Enviva and its supplier's source from private forestlands there are no issues related to traditional use or tenure rights. Public lands are required to engage with stakeholders of all kinds to ensure harvests maintain the forest as a public good, including working with Native Americans. Native American reservations do exist within the Enviva supply base, but all are either under tribal or federal ownership. Enviva also has a formal process for receiving and responding to public inquiries, particularly those that potentially relate to practices that appear to be inconsistent with existing certification requirements.

Enviva uses its proprietary Track & Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva's Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract's ID number. As a result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill. Enviva's Track & Trace Program includes a harvest site auditing component to ensure suppliers conform to the requirement.

**Secondary Feedstock**  
 Enviva's Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP

	<p>supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers' sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.</p> <p>Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows it to assess secondary feedstock mills as described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document dated August 2018. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators. Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> There are adequate law and regulation in the United States and Enviva's supply area to ensure there are no threats to traditional or customary land use rights.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. Federal and State laws and statutes</li> <li>d. Enviva Sustainability Policy</li> <li>e. <b>ENV-COC-01 Enviva Chain of Custody Procedures &amp; Implementation</b></li> <li>f. <b>Track &amp; Trace Program</b></li> <li>g. <b>District of Origin Process</b></li> <li>h. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>i. World Bank Governance Index</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
<b>2.5.2</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that production of feedstock does not endanger food, water supply or subsistence means of communities, where the use of this specific feedstock or water is essential for the fulfilment of basic needs.
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- <i>Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</i></li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement is signed by each supplier annually.</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually. The analysis includes a study of the existence of subsistence communities in the supply area.</p>

Enviva reviews sources such as the National Association of State Foresters, USFS Forest Inventory Analysis, World Wildlife Fund, Conservation International, Greenpeace and World Resources Institute to conduct a state by state study of its supply area. The analysis indicates there are ample state and regional forest assessment tools that help determine forestry regulations within the supply base area. The analysis determines the wood products industry is well established, logger training is an industry norm and the use of forestry best management practices are a long standing business practice in the supply base area.

Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.

Excerpt from the FSC US CWNRA

“The United States is an industrialized nation that likely does not contain non-tribal communities within the conterminous states that directly rely on sites or resources fundamental to satisfying basic needs.

No evidence of HCV 5 related to non-tribal communities in the conterminous United States was found through a literature search on this topic. There is some evidence that they may occur in Alaska and Hawaii [160, 161], but these states are not included in the assessment area for the NRA. FSC US also surveyed US certification bodies with forest management clients to inquire if they have received any comments from communities or stakeholders that depend on forests for their livelihood during forest management public consultations – the response was negative from all surveyed certification bodies [159]. There is no reason to believe that HCV 5 would be more or less likely to occur on certified vs noncertified lands (the focus of the NRA), therefore, our survey of certification bodies provides a sampling of lands throughout the assessment area. FSC US staff consulted with two FSC-certified tribes, two forest managers with extensive experience working with Tribes, and a representative of an affiliation of tribes.”

In the United States regulation of forestry practices has its roots in Federal law and acts designed to provide minimum guidance to states in developing state specific laws and regulations and ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law.

Certain Native American groups depend on clean water and healthy forest for their basic needs, but these areas are located either on publicly owned lands or on their own private reservations. On public lands, laws and regulations are in effect to protect the resources that these communities need. Forestry BMPs through the Clean Water Act are designed to protect water resources. Enviva, and its third-party suppliers, require through contracts, that all primary suppliers of raw material adhere to all applicable laws and regulations and employ BMPs during harvest. BMP compliance rates are high throughout the supply base. Enviva and its third-party suppliers will not contract with companies exhibiting poor performance. The U.S. has a very low risk of food insecurity.

There are no subsistence communities sourcing basic needs from the forest in the Enviva supply area

Enviva uses its proprietary Track & Trace for all primary wood purchases. Specifically, Enviva maintains a robust tracking and monitoring program to ensure that all our suppliers deliver wood that is sourced according to our expectations. The information Enviva collects for every tract its suppliers harvest includes; data on the forest type, age, GPS coordinates, acreage, and the percent of volume from that tract being sold to Enviva. Before agreeing to accept material from a certain tract, Enviva’s Procurement Foresters must obtain this tract-level data and enter it into our database, which generates a unique tract ID. Then, upon delivery to the mill, each load is linked to that tract’s ID number. As a

	<p>result, Enviva knows the tract-level attributes for all the primary wood entering the mill. Enviva's Track &amp; Trace Program includes a harvest site auditing component to ensure suppliers conform to the requirement.</p> <p>Enviva's HCV Tract Approval Process ensures forest management activities do not harm sensitive eco-systems, habitats or threaten biodiversity in its sourcing practices. Each tract is assessed using a set of criteria that include the tract location within known bottomland forest settings. Every tract is evaluated for forest health concerns, wildlife considerations, location within the landscape, conservation value and other criteria. Enviva will only purchase wood from a tract if the assessment determines harvesting is the best outcome for the forest.</p> <p><b>Secondary Feedstock</b>                  Enviva's Secondary Supplier District of Origin procedure requires suppliers to annually provide information such as; their supply area radius, species used, information collected about source locations and forest worker training. This information is mapped against known areas with potential high conservation value to ensure that any risk to HCV values associated with suppliers of secondary feedstocks is appropriately included in the SBP supply base evaluation process and that the suppliers' sourcing practices do not pose a threat to these areas.</p> <p>Enviva's annual District of Origin and Supplier Data Request Form process allows it to assess secondary feedstock mills as described in SBP's Normative Interpretations Document dated August 2018. The guidance found in Standard 2 Section 8.4 describes the procedures a Biomass Producer may use to ensure secondary feedstock sources can be proven SBP-compliant. The evidence collected and evaluated by Enviva to determine the risk of a supplier sourcing practices and supply area are low risk for all indicators. Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u>                  There is a low risk Enviva's sourcing practices will impact a community relying on the forest for its subsistence.</p>
<p>Means of Verification</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>d. <b>Track &amp; Trace Program</b></li> <li>e. <b>HCV Tract Approval Process</b></li> <li>f. <b>District of Origin Process</b></li> <li>g. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>h. World Bank Governance Index</li> </ul>
<p>Evidence Reviewed</p>	<p>All means of verification reviewed</p>
<p>Risk Rating</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b></p>

	Indicator
<p>2.6.1</p>	<p>The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that appropriate mechanisms are in place for resolving grievances and disputes, including those relating to tenure and use rights, to forest management practices and to work conditions.</p>

<p>Finding</p>	<p>Enviva has a formal grievance and complaints procedure in place as part of its PEFC Chain of Custody system</p> <p>PEFC Chain of Custody required certificate holders to have a formal complaints procedure.</p> <p>Some FSC US CWNRA findings related to this indicator</p> <p>1.1 Land tenure and management rights finds the US legality of ownership to be a low risk citing landownership records in the US are highly reliable and frequently used by banking institutions to issue mortgages generally requiring title clearances.</p> <p>“In its report to the Montreal Process Working Group on the Conservation and Management of Temperate and Boreal Forests, in scoring an indicator relating to land tenure, the US government concluded that, “All forest land owners, public and private, exercise their forest tenure rights to achieve their forest land management goals” ....</p> <p>2.2. Labour rights are respected including rights as specified in ILO Fundamental Principles and Rights at work. - Labor rights are upheld including rights as specified in ILO Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work, particularly in the forest sector.</p> <p>Enviva’s ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment uses many of the same sources of information as the FSC CWNRA.</p> <p>The PEFC Chain of Custody Standard requires the certificate holder to have and maintain a complaints process. ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody is the Enviva document describing the internal process for handling complaints.</p> <p>In the United States has a robust legal system and well-established laws and regulations protecting land use, tenure rights and forestry practices. The country ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law.</p> <p>Federal Law regarding forestry dictate that: Forest fire fighting and forest fire prevention occupations, timber tract occupations, forestry service occupations, logging occupations, and occupations in the operation of any sawmill, lathe mill, shingle mill, or cooperage stock mill abide by.</p> <p>OSHA work rules ensure workers have a right to a safe workplace. The law requires employers to provide their employees with working conditions that are free of known dangers. The OSHA law also prohibits employers from retaliating against employees for exercising their rights under the law (including the right to raise a health and safety concern or report an injury). For more information see <a href="http://www.whistleblowers.gov">www.whistleblowers.gov</a> or worker rights.</p> <p>The World Bank does not list the United States as a country with land use and tenure challenges. United States, federal and state legislation regarding worker health and safety is monitored by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) which provides good protection and strong recourse if safety protocols are breached. Enviva, and its third-party suppliers, require through contracts, that all suppliers of raw material adhere to all applicable laws and regulations. Enviva and its third-party suppliers will not contract with companies exhibiting poor performance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u></p> <p>Enviva’s sourcing practices confirms the existence and enforcement of appropriate laws and regulations governing grievances, disputes, tenure and use rights.</p>
<p>Means of Verification</p>	<p>a. Preamble citations</p> <p>b. FSC US CWNRA</p> <p>c. <b>ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chaon of Custody</b></p>

	<p><b>d. ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment</b>                  e. World Bank Governance Index</p>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
<b>2.7.1</b>	<p>The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that Freedom of Association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining are respected.</p>
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- <i>Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</i></li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement are signed by each supplier annually.</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually. The analysis includes a review of appropriate laws regarding freedom of workers right to associate.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>The FSC US CWNRA determined Indicator 2.2. Labour rights are respected including rights as specified in ILO Fundamental Principles and Rights at work.</p> <p><i>“Freedom of Association &amp; Collective Bargaining</i>                  Even though the US has not ratified either of the associated Core Conventions, it has been a member of the ILO since 1980 (and previous to that was a member from 1934 to 1977). As a member, the US has obligations under the ILO Constitution, including a commitment under the Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work. Additionally, the US is subject to annual ILO review and reporting processes and also complaint processes (through the Committee on Freedom of Association, CFA). A report by the International Organisation of Employers (IOE) notes that “Most CFA case examinations of U.S. law have resulted in conclusions and recommendations that the law or practice subject of the complaint is consistent with the principles of freedom of association” and that “there has never been a wholesale criticism of the NLRA or NLRB by the CFA or the ILO”. There are 42 closed complaints cases listed in the US member</p>

	<p>profile. All of this provides strong evidence that the United States respects, promotes and realizes, in good faith, workers' rights to "freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining."</p> <p>Additionally, "It is possible to conclude from the information presented that while the US has not ratified and may not conform with all specifics in the associated Core Conventions, it respects the fundamental rights of freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining."</p> <p>In the United States federal law and acts designed to provide minimum guidance to states in developing state specific laws and regulations. The nation ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law.</p> <p>U.S. law clearly specifies rights to collective bargaining and freedom of association. Enviva's HR practices ensure worker rights are protected. All contracts contain verbiage requiring suppliers to conform to all applicable laws and annually Enviva sends supplier correspondence requiring its suppliers to comply with all labor laws. The United States ratified ILO C150 – Labor Administration Convention securing the rights of worker organization and collective bargaining. Verification of this and other ILO US Ratified Conventions can be found on the ILO NORMLEX website</p> <p>Enviva posts all of the US required employee information posters in key locations for all employees to see and read. Enviva's employee handbook describes the rights each worker enjoys including the right of free association and collective bargaining.</p> <p>The United States Department of Labor provides verification of enforcement. (<a href="https://www.dol.gov/general/aboutdol/majorlaws">https://www.dol.gov/general/aboutdol/majorlaws</a>)</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Analysis of Enviva's sourcing practices confirms the existence of appropriate laws and regulations governing workers right to associate.</p>
<p>Means of Verification</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>Enviva HR policies and procedures</b></li> <li>d. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Wood Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>e. <b>Enviva Employee Handbook</b></li> <li>f. <b>Mill site employee postings</b></li> <li>g. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>h. ILO US Ratified Conventions</li> <li>i. ILO NORMLEX Information System</li> <li>j. United States Department of Labor</li> <li>k. World Bank Governance Index</li> </ul>
<p>Evidence Reviewed</p>	<p>All means of verification reviewed</p>
<p>Risk Rating</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b></p>

	<p><b>Indicator</b></p>
--	-------------------------

<p>2.7.2</p>	<p>The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that feedstock is not supplied using any form of compulsory labour.</p>
<p>Finding</p>	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- <i>Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</i></li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement are signed by each supplier annually.</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually. The analysis includes a study of the existence of appropriate laws regarding protections from compulsory labor.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>The FSC US CWNRA finds</p> <p>Indicator 2.2. Labour rights are respected including rights as specified in ILO Fundamental Principles and Rights at work.</p> <p><i>“Compulsory or Forced Labor</i>          The US ratified Core Convention 105 (Abolition of Forced Labour Convention) in 1991 and the ILO web site indicates the status as ‘In Force’. The US has not yet ratified Convention 29 (Forced Labour Convention), but as noted above has legislation that addresses fundamental rights associated with compulsory or forced labor. There are also numerous additional policies, reports, action plans and executive orders that provide evidence of the country’s efforts to ensure these rights, particularly as they relate to human trafficking [28].</p> <p>The United States is consistently categorized as Tier 1 (the highest tier reflecting a country’s efforts to address human trafficking problems) in the U.S. Department of State’s Trafficking in Persons annual report. The Global Slavery Index’s 2016 assessment identifies the United States as a country with one of the lowest estimated prevalence of modern slavery and as a country with one of the strongest responses to modern slavery.”</p> <p>Additionally, “While the US has not ratified both relevant Core Conventions, it is still possible to conclude that the US respects the fundamental right to the elimination of all forms of forced or compulsory labor, and in particular that there are no concerns identified in the forest sector.”</p> <p>In the United States federal law and acts designed to provide minimum guidance to states in developing state specific laws and regulations. The nation ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law.</p> <p>The U.S. supply areas where Enviva procures wood material have comprehensive laws prohibiting the use of compulsory labor or violating citizen’s rights. Enviva’s HR practices ensure worker rights are protected and employment is “at will”. Enviva’s PEFC Due Diligence Risk Assessment verifies “There is no evidence of child labor or violation of ILO</p>

	<p>Fundamental Principles and Rights at work taking place in forest areas in the district concerned.”</p> <p>The United States Department of Labor provides verification of enforcement. (<a href="https://www.dol.gov/general/aboutdol/majorlaws">https://www.dol.gov/general/aboutdol/majorlaws</a>)</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Analysis of Enviva's sourcing practices confirms the existence of appropriate laws and regulations prohibiting compulsory labor.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. Federal and State web sites</li> <li><b>c. Enviva HR policies and procedures</b></li> <li><b>d. ENV-COC-03 Controlled Wood Risk Assessment</b></li> <li><b>e. Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>f. ILO US Ratified Conventions</li> <li>g. United States Code</li> <li>h. United States Department of Labor</li> <li>i. World Bank</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
<b>2.7.3</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to verify that feedstock is not supplied using child labour.
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement are signed by each supplier annually.</p> <p>Enviva's Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed. The analysis includes a study of the existence of appropriate laws regarding protections preventing child labor.</p> <p>The FSC CWNRA finds <i>“Child Labor</i> The United States ratified Core Convention 182 (Worst Forms of Child Labor Convention) in 1999 and the ILO web site indicates the status as ‘In Force’. The US has not yet ratified Convention 138 (Minimum Age Convention), but as noted above has legislation that addresses fundamental rights associated with child labor. Additionally, every state has</p>

	<p>legislation that further limits the hours and days per week that minors may work in non-farm employment and 34 states have similar limits for farm work. And all states have compulsory education until at least 16 years of age [28]. The US Annual Reports to the ILO also detail statistics on the effective enforcement of the federal legislation, including hundreds of cases, thousands of children affected and millions of dollars paid in fines each year. The United States does not feature in the ILO Child Labour Country Dashboard, which indicates a low risk for child labour in the United States. The 2016 List of Goods Produced by Child Labor or Forced Labor [46] does not associate any goods produced in the US with child labor.”</p> <p>Further it finds, “While the US has not ratified both relevant Core Conventions, it is still possible to conclude that the US respects the fundamental right to the effective abolition of child labor, particularly in the forest sector.”</p> <p>In the United States federal law and acts designed to provide minimum guidance to states in developing state specific laws and regulations. The nation ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law.</p> <p>The United States Department of Labor provides verification of enforcement. (<a href="https://www.dol.gov/general/topic/youthlabor/enforcement">https://www.dol.gov/general/topic/youthlabor/enforcement</a>)</p> <p>The U.S. supply areas where Enviva procures wood material have comprehensive laws prohibiting the use of child labor or violating citizen’s rights. Enviva’s HR practices ensure the company complies with minimum worker age requirements and all supplier contracts contain verbiage requiring suppliers to conform to all applicable laws.</p> <p>From the AHEC Legality Study:          “We come to the conclusion that wood procured in the study area can be considered Low Risk of violating traditional and civil rights. This conclusion is based on the determination that there is no UN Security Council ban, there is no evidence of prolific child labor, there is no evidence that ILO Fundamental Principles are not respected, and there are recognized and equitable processes in place to resolve conflicts of substantial magnitude.”</p> <p>“Forest employment in the US is regulated under federal and state laws and codes, which prohibit child labor and are consistent with the ILO Fundamental Principles and Rights at work.”</p> <p>Enviva does not employ anyone under the age or 18 years.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva’s legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u>          Analysis of Enviva’s sourcing practices confirms the existence of appropriate laws and regulations prohibiting child labor.</p>
<p>Means of Verification</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. Federal and State web sites</li> <li>c. <b>Enviva HR policies and procedures</b></li> <li>d. <b>ENV-COC-01 Enviva Chain of Custody Procedures &amp; Implementation</b></li> <li>e. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Wood Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>f. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>g. ILO US Ratified Conventions</li> <li>h. United States Department of Labor</li> <li>i. World Bank</li> </ol>

Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
<b>2.7.4</b>	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that feedstock is not supplied using labour which is discriminated against in respect of employment and occupation.
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement are signed by each supplier annually</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually. The analysis includes a study of the existence of appropriate laws regarding protections against discrimination in the workplace.</p> <p>In the United States federal law and acts designed to provide minimum guidance to states in developing state specific laws and regulations. The nation ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>The FSC US CWNRA finds Indicator 1.12 Legal employment – Most employment in the US is considered "at will," and can be terminated by either party or changed without prior notice. A written contract is not necessary; all employers are still subject to labor laws.</p> <p>Indicator 2.2 Labour rights are respected including rights as specified in ILO Fundamental Principles and Rights at work.</p> <p>"Discrimination with respect to employment is prohibited in the United States by Section VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Public Law 88-352), and is overseen by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. There are several additional and complementary pieces of legislation, such as: the Equal Pay Act of 1963 (EPA), which protects men and women who perform substantially equal work in the same establishment from sex-based wage discrimination; the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (ADEA), which protects individuals who are 40 years of age or older; Title I and Title V of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended (ADA), which prohibit employment</p>

	<p>discrimination against qualified individuals with disabilities in the private sector, and in state and local governments; Sections 501 and 505 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which prohibit discrimination against qualified individuals with disabilities who work in the federal government;”</p> <p>“All indicators In the Category 1 (legality) assessment were designated as ‘low risk’ at a national scale, indicating that the relevant legislation is enforced.”</p> <p>In the United States regulation of forestry practices has its roots in federal law and acts designed to provide minimum guidance to states in developing state specific laws and regulations and ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law.</p> <p>The U.S. supply areas where Enviva procures wood material have comprehensive laws prohibiting the violation of citizen’s rights. Enviva’s HR practices ensure the company is an equal opportunity employer and prohibit discrimination in all of the federal and state laws in our areas of operation. Enviva’s PEFC Due Diligence Risk Assessment was verified to show “There is no evidence of child labor or violation of ILO Fundamental Principles and Rights at work taking place in forest areas in the district concerned.”</p> <p>The United States Department of Labor provides verification of enforcement. (<a href="https://www.dol.gov/general/aboutdol/majorlaws">https://www.dol.gov/general/aboutdol/majorlaws</a>)</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva’s legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Analysis of Enviva's sourcing practices confirms the existence of appropriate laws and regulations prohibiting discrimination in the workplace.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. Federal and State web sites</li> <li>c. <b>Enviva HR policies and procedures</b></li> <li>d. <b>ENV-COC-01 Enviva Chain of Custody Procedures &amp; Implementation</b></li> <li>e. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Wood Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>f. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>g. ILO US Ratified Conventions</li> <li>h. United States Department of Labor</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b></p>

	Indicator
2.7.5	The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that feedstock is supplied using labour where the pay and employment conditions are fair and meet, or exceed, minimum requirements.
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement are signed by each supplier annually.</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually. The analysis includes a study of the existence of appropriate laws regarding minimum wage at the federal and state level.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>In the United States federal law and acts designed to provide minimum guidance to states in developing state specific laws and regulations. The nation ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law.</p> <p>The U.S. supply areas where Enviva procures wood material have comprehensive laws prohibiting the violation of worker's rights. Enviva's HR practices ensure worker wages are comparable to other similar employment opportunities in the regions we operate. Enviva's PEFC Due Diligence Risk Assessment was verified to show "There is no evidence of child labor or violation of ILO Fundamental Principles and Rights at work taking place in forest areas in the district concerned."</p> <p>OSHA work rules ensure workers have a right to a safe workplace. The law requires employers to provide their employees with working conditions that are free of known dangers. The OSHA law also prohibits employers from retaliating against employees for exercising their rights under the law (including the right to raise a health and safety concern or report an injury). For more information see <a href="http://www.whistleblowers.gov">www.whistleblowers.gov</a> or worker rights.</p> <p>The United States Department of Labor provides verification of enforcement. (<a href="https://www.dol.gov/general/aboutdol/majorlaws">https://www.dol.gov/general/aboutdol/majorlaws</a>)</p> <p>The United State Department of Labor Occupational Safety and Health Administration provides verification of enforcement. (<a href="https://www.osha.gov/dep/index.html">https://www.osha.gov/dep/index.html</a>)</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Analysis of Enviva's sourcing practices confirms the existence of appropriate laws and regulations ensuring fair pay for workers.</p>
<p>Means of Verification</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. Federal and State web sites</li> <li><b>c. Enviva HR policies and procedures</b></li> <li><b>d. ENV-COC-03 Controlled Wood Risk Assessment</b></li> <li><b>e. Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>f. ILO US Ratified Conventions</li> <li>g. Occupational Safety and Health Administration</li> <li>h. United States Department of Labor</li> </ul>

Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
<b>2.8.1</b>	<p>The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that appropriate safeguards are put in place to protect the health and safety of forest workers (CPET S12).</p>
<b>Finding</b>	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- Wood from forests where genetically modified trees are planted;</li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement are signed by each supplier annually.</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually. The analysis includes a review of appropriate laws regarding worker health and safety.</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>The PEFC Chain of Custody Standard requires the certificate holder to evaluate social, health and safety within its supply area. ENV-PEFCCOC-01 PEFC Chain of Custody is the Enviva document describing its findings. Many of the information sources used are the same as cited in the FSC US CWNRA.</p> <p>The FSC US CWNRA finds          1.12 Legal employment – There is a large body of laws governing fair labor, worker safety and health. These laws protect forest workers by prescribing specific safety measures to employ and safety equipment to use while working. There is a low risk forest worker are not adequately protected.</p> <p>In the United States federal law and acts designed to provide minimum guidance to states in developing state specific laws and regulations. The nation ranks in the top 88th percentile in Regulatory Quality in the World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators and in the top 90th percentile in Rule of Law.</p>

	<p>The US Occupational Health and Safety Administration is responsible for implementing, monitoring and enforcing worker health and safety laws and regulations. Enviva complies with all applicable laws and regulation and contractually requires its suppliers to do the same. The SFI Wood Sourcing Standard requires Program Participants to adhere to health and safety laws. Enviva and its third-party suppliers will not contract with companies exhibiting poor performance. Enviva has safety manuals in place for mill workers. Enviva also has an in-depth safety program in place at each mill to prevent accidents and share best practices amongst sites. OSHA records of reportable injuries and rates are publicly available.</p> <p>Federal Law regarding forestry dictate that: Forest fire fighting and forest fire prevention occupations, timber tract occupations, forestry service occupations, logging occupations, and occupations in the operation of any sawmill, lathe mill, shingle mill, or cooperage stock mill abide by (Order 4). [75 FR 28453, May 20, 2010]</p> <p>OSHA work rules ensure workers have a right to a safe workplace. The law requires employers to provide their employees with working conditions that are free of known dangers. The OSHA law also prohibits employers from retaliating against employees for exercising their rights under the law (including the right to raise a health and safety concern or report an injury). For more information see <a href="http://www.whistleblowers.gov">www.whistleblowers.gov</a> or worker rights.</p> <p>The United State Department of Labor Occupational Safety and Health Administration provides verification of enforcement. (<a href="https://www.osha.gov/dep/index.html">https://www.osha.gov/dep/index.html</a>)</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva's legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Analysis of Enviva's sourcing practices confirms the existence of appropriate laws and regulations ensuring worker health and safety.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>c. <b>ENV-COC-01 Enviva Chain of Custody Procedures &amp; Implementation</b></li> <li>d. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Wood Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>e. <b>Enviva Employee Handbook</b></li> <li>f. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> <li>g. United State Department of Labor Occupational Safety and Health</li> <li>h. World Bank</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
2.9.1	Biomass is not sourced from areas that had high carbon stocks in January 2008 and no longer have those high carbon stocks.
Finding	Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure it Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts, forestry best management practices in all of Enviva's supply areas. The analysis includes a study of carbon stocks in the supply area.

	<p>Wetlands and peatlands are recognized as areas of high carbon stocks as well as areas of important ecological function. Where there are wetlands in the sourcing area, these are strongly protected by legislation to remain as wetlands through the Clean Water Act. No change can be made to the hydrology of wetlands without the permission of the Army Corps of Engineers, who oversee and implement CWA legislation.</p> <p>The annual growth to drain ratio of the supply base is 1.79:1 for all species, 2.08:1 for hardwood, and 1.60:1 for pine. A positive growth to drain ratio indicates that forest growth exceeds harvest removals. In the Gulf region of the U.S. South, total inventory has increased by an average of 1.3% annually between 2000 and 2018. Since 2000, US Forest Service Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) data indicates an increase in forest area in the states covered included in the Enviva supply base area.</p> <p>Wetlands and peatlands are recognized as areas of high carbon stocks as well as areas of important ecological function. Wetlands such as swamps, ponds and bottoms are common within the supply base, but peatlands such as bogs and fens are usually associated with the Northeast United States and well outside of the supply base. The exception to this is Pocosin, which is the only Southeastern bog and is only found along the Atlantic coast from Virginia to Florida and not likely to occur within the supply base.</p> <p><a href="http://water.epa.gov/type/wetlands/types_index.cfm">http://water.epa.gov/type/wetlands/types_index.cfm</a></p> <p>While current BMP's are structured to allow selective harvesting within a wetland, guidelines are in place to protect wetland function and minimize site impacts during harvest. BMP's specifically do not allow forestry activities to alter the hydrologic conditions or drainage patterns of wetlands. By limiting harvest size and requiring leave trees and Streamside Management Zones within the wetland, BMP's work to maintain the carbon sink values associated with wetlands. The use of innovative harvesting techniques such as mat or shovel logging utilize concentrated skid trails and "mats" of felled wood to minimize ground disturbance during wetland harvest. It is common practice for logging slash to be left on site during wetland harvest and natural regeneration of the wetland takes place fairly quickly after harvest.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Analysis of Enviva's supply area confirms carbon stocks are maintained.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. <b>ENV-SFIS-01 Certified Sourcing Implementation Manual</b></li> <li>c. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Source Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>d. BMP manuals and Compliance reports</li> <li>e. Clean Water Act</li> <li>f. USDA Forest Service Forest Inventory Analysis data</li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>

	Indicator
2.9.2	Analysis demonstrates that feedstock harvesting does not diminish the capability of the forest to act as an effective sink or store of carbon over the long term.
Finding	Enviva's Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually to ensure it Enviva is aware of forest impact assessments, planning implementation and monitoring efforts,

forestry best management practices in all of Enviva's supply areas. The analysis includes a study of carbon stocks in the supply area.

Forest cover type in the Enviva SBP-compliant supply base area is 64% hardwood. The 36% pine portion is a combination of both naturally occurring and plantation pine. Growth to drain is positive 1.79:1 for all species, 1.60:1 for pine species and 2.08:1 for hardwood species.

Understanding the role of managed forests in forest-carbon relationships is an essential component of global carbon dynamics and greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions. The ability of forests to act as carbon storage pools (sinks) and prevent additional carbon from entering the atmosphere is a key factor in this relationship. Recent studies have shown that a "hands off" strategy of forest preservation may not always produce the desired climatic results, but sustainably managed forests can provide carbon sequestration and storage benefits as well as a range of environmental and social benefits such as timber and biomass production, clean water, wildlife habitat, and recreational opportunities. The UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) acknowledged this in their Fourth Assessment Report: "In the long term, a sustainable forest management strategy aimed at maintaining or increasing forest carbon stocks, while producing an annual sustained yield of timber, fiber or energy from the forest, will generate the largest sustained mitigation benefit."

Healthy and vigorously growing forests are efficient at capturing and storing atmospheric carbon, but older mature forests, while maintaining large carbon stores, have very low rates of additional carbon sequestration. If natural mortality is allowed to occur in these mature forests, they can actually become carbon emitters and lose the benefit of stored carbon. The harvest of forest resources from such stands provides a mechanism for capturing and utilizing stored carbon. Sustainable forest management practiced at the landscape level provides a mosaic of forest stands from young to old and maintains carbon sequestration potential of the forests. Mature stands are harvested and reforested while younger stands are managed to maintain vigor and held for future harvest. Forest management practices such as thinning and prescribed burning reduce the potential for stand mortality from natural disturbances and the carbon emissions associated with such disturbances. The decay of trees destroyed by wildfires, storms, insects and diseases emits stored carbon back into the atmosphere without any realized benefit. As long as harvests and mortality do not exceed net growth across the forest, carbon stocks will remain stable or increase through time. In the U.S. we have experienced over 70 continuous years of net forest growth exceeding removals and mortality, thus indicating forest management practices are having a positive impact on the long-term storage of carbon. Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) data shows that all states within the Enviva supply base follow the U.S. trend of steady to increasing forested acres.

Harvest and utilization of forest products have additional GHG reduction and carbon flow benefits beyond the forest that are often not realized in society. The premise of Enviva's operations is to utilize forest materials and residuals from wood processing facilities in order to produce renewable energy and lower GHG emissions. By accepting lower quality wood produced from forest thinnings, Enviva is promoting the sustainable forest management practices that are essential to forest-climate interactions. Energy obtained from forest biomass uses far less of the Earth's stored carbon; therefore, the use of our wood pellets reduces the flow of fossil fuel-based carbon emissions into the atmosphere. Solid wood products and wood-based products used in construction, furniture, and other industries maintain their stored carbon for the life of the product. The reuse or recycling of these wood products only compounds their impact on carbon flow. It takes less energy (embodied energy) and thus less fossil fuel to process raw forest materials into useful products than it does for other materials such as steel, aluminum, concrete, or plastic. When wood products are used in place of these other

	<p>materials, there exist a real substitution effect that serves to reduce overall societal carbon emissions.</p> <p>Sustainable forest management along with the additive effect of various wood use strategies, insure that forest operations have substantial carbon sequestration, storage, and substitution benefits that reduce global GHG emissions. Society of American Foresters, 2011, Managing forests because carbon matters: integrating energy, products, and land management policy, Supplement to Journal of Forestry, October/November 2011, Volume 109, Number 7S</p> <p><a href="http://www.fs.fed.us/pnw/pubs/journals/pnw_2011_malmsheimer001.pdf">http://www.fs.fed.us/pnw/pubs/journals/pnw_2011_malmsheimer001.pdf</a></p> <p><a href="http://www.woodforgood.com/assets/Downloads/AHEC%20Carbon%20Storage%20through%20Forest%20Management.pdf">http://www.woodforgood.com/assets/Downloads/AHEC%20Carbon%20Storage%20through%20Forest%20Management.pdf</a></p> <p>Forest Inventory Analysis Data: <a href="http://www.fia.fs.fed.us/">http://www.fia.fs.fed.us/</a></p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Analysis of Enviva's supply area confirms carbon stocks are maintained.</p>
<p>Means of Verification</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preamble citations</li> <li>b. SAF Journal of Forestry</li> <li>c. Ecological objectives can be achieved with wood derived bioenergy (peer reviewed letter)</li> <li>d. AHEC article (peer reviewed)</li> <li>e. Forest Inventory Analysis Data</li> </ul>
<p>Evidence Reviewed</p>	<p>All means of verification reviewed</p>
<p>Risk Rating</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b></p>

	Indicator
2.10.1	Genetically modified trees are not used.
Finding	<p>Enviva uses contractual language in its Master Wood Purchase Agreement requiring supplier to abide by all relevant laws and regulations. The contract includes the requirement to avoid the following unacceptable sources wood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Illegally harvest wood;</li> <li>- Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities;</li> <li>- Wood harvested from old growth or semi-natural forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use;</li> <li>- <i>Wood from forests were genetically modified trees are planted;</i></li> <li>- Wood in which there was a violation of the ILO Declarations on fundamental principle and rights at work.</li> </ul> <p>The Master Wood Purchase Agreement are signed by each supplier annually.</p> <p>Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment is reviewed annually. The analysis includes confirmation there are no commercially available genetically modified trees in the US..</p> <p>Findings are incorporated into Enviva's ENV-COC-03 Controlled Sources Risk Assessment and revisions to the Master Wood Purchase Agreement.</p> <p>The FSC US CWNRA findings conclude          “Currently there is no use of GMO trees for commercial use, but the US might be close to approving the use of such. If this happens it will not be possible to identify the use of that GMO to a certain MU, which is why there might be specified risk in the future. But as the situation is now in the US there are no commercial GMO timber trees.</p> <p>There are no commercial uses of Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO’s) inside the Enviva LP supply area. Enviva communicates its desire to avoid these source annually to its suppliers. Excerpt from Enviva’s PEFC Chain of Custody Due Diligence System:</p> <p>International groups have general consistency regarding the term GMO to ensure that it is not confused with hybrids, cultivars, and breeds, which are derived from traditional breeding programs. A GMO is an organism that has been transformed by the insertion of one or more genes (called transgenes). Often the inserted genes are from a different species than the recipient organism. Genetic modification does not include traditional breeding or natural hybridization, i.e. GM trees cannot be obtained through conventional tree breeding methods.</p> <p>There is a single synthesis document that provides an up to date (as of 2004) evaluation of forest GMO (Genetically Modified Organisms). Currently, the only commercial user of GMO trees is China and only a single species, <i>Populus nigra</i> (Black Poplar, Lombardy Poplar).</p> <p>The majority of GMO tree research takes place in the U.S. As of 2004, there were field trials of multiple genera, but no commercial plantings.</p> <p>Enviva did not find its wood supply areas on any lists contained in the FAO preliminary review of biotechnology in forestry (<a href="http://www.fao.org/docrep/008/ae574e/ae574e00.htm">http://www.fao.org/docrep/008/ae574e/ae574e00.htm</a>).</p>

	<p>There are no commercial uses of genetically modified trees taking place across the wood supply area. Enviva is therefore confident that its wood supply does not source wood from forests in which genetically modified trees are planted.</p> <p>Master Wood Purchase Agreements contain recital requiring the supplier to agree to abide by Enviva’s legal and sustainability commitments including a provision to allow Enviva to periodically audit suppliers to ensure conformance.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> Enviva does not use genetically modified trees at any of its mills.</p>
Means of Verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. FSC US CWNRA</li> <li>b. <b>ENV-COC-03 Controlled Wood Risk Assessment</b></li> <li>c. <b>Master Wood Purchase Agreement</b></li> </ul>
Evidence Reviewed	All means of Verification reviewed
Risk Rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Specified Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unspecified Risk at RA</b>